arXiv:1109.4065v2 [math.QA] 4 Jan 2012

A COMMUTANT REALIZATION OF $\mathcal{W}_n^{(2)}$ AT CRITICAL LEVEL

THOMAS CREUTZIG, PENG GAO, AND ANDREW R. LINSHAW

ABSTRACT. There is a free field realization of the affine vertex superalgebra $\mathcal{A}$ associated to $\mathfrak{psl}(n|n)$ at critical level inside the $bc\beta\gamma$ system $\mathcal{W}$ of rank n^2. We show that the commutant $\mathcal{C} = Com(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{W})$ is purely bosonic and has a minimal strong generating set consisting of $n+1$ elements. For $n \leq 4$, $\mathcal{C}$ is a central quotient of the $\mathcal{W}_n^{(2)}$-algebra at critical level, and we conjecture that this holds for all n. We identify the Zhu algebra of $\mathcal{C}$ with the ring of invariant differential operators on the space of $n \times n$ matrices under the action of $SL_n \times SL_n$. For $n \leq 4$ we classify the irreducible, admissible $\mathcal{C}$-modules M with finite-dimensional M_0.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a vertex algebra, and let $\mathcal{A}$ be a subalgebra of $\mathcal{V}$. The *commutant* of $\mathcal{A}$ in $\mathcal{V}$, denoted by $Com(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V})$, is the subalgebra consisting of all elements $v \in \mathcal{V}$ such that $[a(z), v(w)] = 0$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$. This construction was introduced by Frenkel-Zhu in [FZ], generalizing earlier constructions in representation theory [KP] and physics [GKO], and is important in the construction of coset conformal field theories. If $\mathcal{A}$ acts semisimply on $\mathcal{V}$, $Com(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V})$ can often be studied by decomposing $\mathcal{V}$ as an $\mathcal{A}$-module. Otherwise, there are few existing techniques for studying commutant vertex algebras, and there are very few examples where an exhaustive description can be given in terms of generators, operator product expansions, and normally ordered polynomial relations among the generators.

An equivalent definition of $Com(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V})$ is the set of elements $v \in \mathcal{V}$ such that $a(z) \circ_n v(z) = 0$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $n \geq 0$. We may regard $Com(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V})$ as the algebra of invariants in $\mathcal{V}$ under the action of $\mathcal{A}$. If $\mathcal{A}$ is a homomorphic image of an affine vertex algebra associated to some Lie (super)algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, $Com(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V})$ is just the invariant space $\mathcal{V}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}$, and in this case one can apply techniques from invariant theory and commutative algebra. This approach was first used in [LL] in a special case, and was developed more fully in [LSSII].

Another construction of vertex algebras is the Drinfeld-Sokolov reduction [BT, FF]. These are so-called $\mathcal{W}$-algebras associated to the affine vertex algebra $V_k(\mathfrak{g})$ of some Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and an embedding of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ in $\mathfrak{g}$. In some cases, these algebras can also be constructed in a different way. For example, the $\mathcal{W}_n^{(2)}$-algebra can be realized using affine vertex superalgebras. Special cases appear as subalgebras of extensions of the affine vertex superalgebra $V_k(\mathfrak{gl}(1|1))$ [CRi]. At generic level it has been constructed by Feigin and Semikhatov [FS] using the commutant $Com(V_k(\mathfrak{sl}(n)), V_k(\mathfrak{sl}(n|1)))$ of the affine vertex algebra $V_k(\mathfrak{sl}(n))$ in the affine vertex superalgebra $V_k(\mathfrak{sl}(n|1))$.

In this paper, we begin with a free field realization of the affine vertex superalgebra associated to $\mathfrak{pgl}(n|n)$ at critical level. It is realized as a subalgebra of the $bc\beta\gamma$ system $\mathcal{W}$ of rank n^2. This free field realization is very similar to the realizations of the affine vertex

---

Key words and phrases. conformal field theory; vertex algebra; commutant; affine Lie superalgebra; free field realization; $\mathcal{W}$-algebra; Zhu functor; Weyl algebra; invariant differential operators.

superalgebra $V_k(\mathfrak{gl}(n|n))$ [CR]. The derived subalgebra of $\mathfrak{pgl}(n|n)$ is $\mathfrak{psl}(n|n)$. Letting $\mathcal{A}$ be the image of the affine vertex superalgebra of $\mathfrak{psl}(n|n)$ at critical level in $\mathcal{W}$, we are interested in $\mathcal{C} = Com(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{W})$. Our first step is to show that $\mathcal{C}$ is purely bosonic and can be identified with the commutant of a copy of $V_{-n}(\mathfrak{sl}_n) \otimes V_{-n}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ inside the $\beta\gamma$ system of rank n^2. This problem fits precisely into the framework developed in [LSSII], and is closely related to rings of invariant polynomial functions on arc spaces. We recall the main results of [LSSII] and use them to give a minimal strong finite generating set for $\mathcal{C}$ consisting of $n+1$ generators. There are no nontrivial normally ordered polynomial relations among these generators, so they freely generate $\mathcal{C}$. For $n \leq 4$, we show that $\mathcal{C}$ is a central quotient of the $\mathcal{W}_n^{(2)}$ algebra at critical level, and we conjecture that this holds for all n.

We study the representation theory of $\mathcal{C}$ via its Zhu algebra, which we identify with the ring $\mathcal{D}^{SL_n \times SL_n}$. Here $\mathcal{D}$ denotes the Weyl algebra on the space of $n \times n$ matrices. The generators of $\mathcal{D}^{SL_n \times SL_n}$ correspond to the generators of $\mathcal{C}$, and we classify the irreducible, finite-dimensional modules over $\mathcal{D}^{SL_n \times SL_n}$, modulo an explicit formula for certain relations among the generators. These modules are in one-to-one correspondence with the irreducible, admissible $\mathcal{C}$-modules $M = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} M_n$ for which M_0 is finite-dimensional. For $n \leq 4$, we write down these relations in $\mathcal{D}^{SL_n \times SL_n}$, so our classification is explicit in these cases.

In the case $n = 4$, there is an application of our results to physics [CGL]. Berkovits [Be] introduced a sigma model that conjecturally describes a super Yang-Mills theory. This model can be formulated as a perturbation of a theory containing two copies of $\mathcal{W}$. The perturbation is in terms of the currents of the affine vertex superalgebra $\mathfrak{psl}(4|4)$ at critical level, $\mathcal{A}$, and the algebra that is preserved by the perturbation is two copies of $\mathcal{C} = Com(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{W})$.

2. VERTEX ALGEBRAS

In this section, we define vertex algebras, which have been discussed from various different points of view in the literature (see for example [B][FLM][K][FBZ]). We will follow the formalism developed in [LZ] and partly in [LiI]. Let $V = V_0 \oplus V_1$ be a super vector space over $\mathbb{C}$, and let z, w be formal variables. By $QO(V)$, we mean the space of all linear maps

$$V \to V((z)) := \{\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} v(n) z^{-n-1} | v(n) \in V,\ v(n) = 0 \text{ for } n >> 0\}.$$

Each element $a \in QO(V)$ can be uniquely represented as a power series

$$a = a(z) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a(n) z^{-n-1} \in \text{End}(V)[[z, z^{-1}]].$$

We refer to $a(n)$ as the nth Fourier mode of $a(z)$. Each $a \in QO(V)$ is assumed to be of the shape $a = a_0 + a_1$ where $a_i : V_j \to V_{i+j}((z))$ for $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, and we write $|a_i| = i$.

On $QO(V)$ there is a set of nonassociative bilinear operations $\circ_n$, indexed by $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, which we call the nth circle products. For homogeneous $a, b \in QO(V)$, they are defined by

$$a(w) \circ_n b(w) = Res_z a(z) b(w)\ \iota_{|z|>|w|}(z-w)^n - (-1)^{|a||b|} Res_z b(w) a(z)\ \iota_{|w|>|z|}(z-w)^n.$$

Here $\iota_{|z|>|w|} f(z,w) \in \mathbb{C}[[z,z^{-1},w,w^{-1}]]$ denotes the power series expansion of a rational function f in the region $|z| > |w|$. We usually omit the symbol $\iota_{|z|>|w|}$ and just write $(z-w)^{-1}$ to mean the expansion in the region $|z|>|w|$, and write $-(w-z)^{-1}$ to mean the expansion in $|w|>|z|$. It is easy to check that $a(w)\circ_n b(w)$ above is a well-defined element of $QO(V)$.

The nonnegative circle products are connected through the *operator product expansion* (OPE) formula. For $a, b \in QO(V)$, we have

$$a(z)b(w) = \sum_{n\geq 0} a(w)\circ_n b(w)\ (z-w)^{-n-1} + :a(z)b(w):, \tag{2.1}$$

which is often written as $a(z)b(w) \sim \sum_{n\geq 0} a(w)\circ_n b(w)\ (z-w)^{-n-1}$, where $\sim$ means equal modulo the term

$$:a(z)b(w): = a(z)_- b(w)\ +\ (-1)^{|a||b|} b(w)a(z)_+.$$

Here $a(z)_- = \sum_{n<0} a(n)z^{-n-1}$ and $a(z)_+ = \sum_{n\geq 0} a(n)z^{-n-1}$. Note that $:a(w)b(w):$ is a well-defined element of $QO(V)$. It is called the *Wick product* of a and b, and it coincides with $a\circ_{-1} b$. The other negative circle products are related to this by

$$n!\ a(z)\circ_{-n-1} b(z) = :(\partial^n a(z))b(z):,$$

where ∂ denotes the formal differentiation operator $\frac{d}{dz}$. For $a_1(z),\dots,a_k(z) \in QO(V)$, the k-fold iterated Wick product is defined to be

$$:a_1(z)a_2(z)\cdots a_k(z): = :a_1(z)b(z):,$$

where $b(z) = :a_2(z)\cdots a_k(z):$. We often omit the formal variable z when no confusion can arise.

The set $QO(V)$ is a nonassociative algebra with the operations $\circ_n$ and a unit 1. We have $1\circ_n a = \delta_{n,-1}a$ for all n, and $a\circ_n 1 = \delta_{n,-1}a$ for $n\geq -1$. A linear subspace $\mathcal{A}\subset QO(V)$ containing 1 which is closed under the circle products will be called a *quantum operator algebra* (QOA). In particular $\mathcal{A}$ is closed under ∂ since $\partial a = a\circ_{-2} 1$. Many formal algebraic notions are immediately clear: a homomorphism is just a linear map that sends 1 to 1 and preserves all circle products; a module over $\mathcal{A}$ is a vector space M equipped with a homomorphism $\mathcal{A}\to QO(M)$, etc. A subset $S = \{a_i |\ i\in I\}$ of $\mathcal{A}$ is said to generate $\mathcal{A}$ if any element $a\in\mathcal{A}$ can be written as a linear combination of nonassociative words in the letters a_i, $\circ_n$, for $i\in I$ and $n\in\mathbb{Z}$. We say that S *strongly generates* $\mathcal{A}$ if any $a\in\mathcal{A}$ can be written as a linear combination of words in the letters a_i, $\circ_n$ for $n<0$. Equivalently, $\mathcal{A}$ is spanned by the collection $\{:\partial^{k_1}a_{i_1}(z)\cdots\partial^{k_m}a_{i_m}(z):\ |\ i_1,\dots,i_m\in I,\ k_1,\dots,k_m\geq 0\}$. We say that S *freely generates* $\mathcal{A}$ if there are no nontrivial normally ordered polynomial relations among the generators and their derivatives.

We say that $a,b\in QO(V)$ *quantum commute* if $(z-w)^N[a(z),b(w)] = 0$ for some $N\geq 0$. Here $[,]$ denotes the super bracket. This condition implies that $a\circ_n b = 0$ for $n\geq N$, so (2.1) becomes a finite sum. A *commutative quantum operator algebra* (CQOA) is a QOA whose elements pairwise quantum commute. Finally, the notion of a CQOA is equivalent to the notion of a vertex algebra. Every CQOA $\mathcal{A}$ is itself a faithful $\mathcal{A}$-module, called the *left regular module*. Define

$$\rho : \mathcal{A}\to QO(\mathcal{A}),\quad a\mapsto \hat{a},\quad \hat{a}(\zeta)b = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} (a\circ_n b)\ \zeta^{-n-1}.$$

Then ρ is an injective QOA homomorphism, and the quadruple of structures $(\mathcal{A}, \rho, 1, \partial)$ is a vertex algebra in the sense of [FLM]. Conversely, if $(V, Y, \mathbf{1}, D)$ is a vertex algebra, the collection $Y(V) \subset QO(V)$ is a CQOA. *We will refer to a CQOA simply as a vertex algebra throughout the rest of this paper*.

Example 2.1 (Affine vertex algebras)**.** Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a finite-dimensional, complex Lie (super)algebra, equipped with a symmetric, invariant bilinear form B. The loop algebra $\mathfrak{g}[t, t^{-1}] = \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$ has a one-dimensional central extension $\hat{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g}[t, t^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}\kappa$ determined by B, with bracket

$$[\xi t^n, \eta t^m] = [\xi, \eta] t^{n+m} + nB(\xi, \eta)\delta_{n+m,0}\kappa,$$

and $\mathbb{Z}$-gradation $deg(\xi t^n) = n$, $deg(\kappa) = 0$. Let $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\geq 0} = \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_n$ where $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_n$ denotes the subspace of degree n, and let C be the one-dimensional $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\geq 0}$-module on which ξt^n acts trivially for $n \geq 0$, and κ acts by k times the identity. Define $V = U(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}) \otimes_{U(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\geq 0})} C$, and let $X^{\xi}(n) \in \mathrm{End}(V)$ be the linear operator representing ξt^n on V. Define $X^{\xi}(z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} X^{\xi}(n) z^{-n-1}$, which is easily seen to lie in $QO(V)$ and satisfy the OPE relation

$$X^{\xi}(z)X^{\eta}(w) \sim kB(\xi, \eta)(z - w)^{-2} + X^{[\xi,\eta]}(w)(z - w)^{-1}.$$

The vertex algebra $V_k(\mathfrak{g}, B)$ generated by $\{X^{\xi} |\ \xi \in \mathfrak{g}\}$ is known as the *universal affine vertex algebra* associated to $\mathfrak{g}$ and B at level k.

Note that for some Lie superalgebras, as e.g. $\mathfrak{psl}(n|n)$, the supertrace in the adjoint representation is degenerate. If the bilinear form B is the standardly normalized supertrace in the adjoint representation of $\mathfrak{g}$ and if B is non-degenerate, then the universal affine vertex algebra associated to $\mathfrak{g}$ and B of level k is denoted by $V_k(\mathfrak{g})$.

We recall the *Sugawara construction* for affine vertex superalgebras following [KRW]. Suppose that $\mathfrak{g}$ is simple and that B is nondegenerate. Let $\{\xi\}$ and $\{\xi'\}$ be dual bases of $\mathfrak{g}$, i.e., $B(\xi', \eta) = \delta_{\xi,\eta}$. Then the Casimir operator is $C_2 = \sum_{\xi} \xi\xi'$. The dual Coxeter number $h^{\vee}$ with respect to the bilinear form B is one half the eigenvalue of C_2 in the adjoint representation of $\mathfrak{g}$. If $k + h^{\vee} \neq 0$, there is a Virasoro field

$$L(z) = \frac{1}{2(k + h^{\vee})} \sum_{\xi} : X^{\xi}(z)X^{\xi'}(z) : \tag{2.2}$$

of central charge

$$c = \frac{k \mathrm{sdim} \mathfrak{g}}{k + h^{\vee}}\,. \tag{2.3}$$

This Virasoro element is known as the *Sugawara conformal vector*, and each X^{ξ} is primary of weight one. At the critical level $k = -h^{\vee}$, $L(z)$ does not exist, but $V_{-h^{\vee}}(\mathfrak{g}, B)$ still possesses a quasi-conformal structure, that is, an action of the Lie subalgebra $\{L_n|\ n \geq -1\}$ of the Virasoro algebra such that L_{-1} acts by translation and L_0 acts diagonalizably.

Example 2.2 (Affine vertex superalgebra of $\mathfrak{pgl}(n|n)$ at critical level)**.** The Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{gl}(n|n)$ has a basis $\{E^{\pm}_{ab}, F^{\pm}_{ab} | 1 \leq a, b \leq n\}$. The $E^{\pm}_{ab}$ generate two commuting copies of $\mathfrak{gl}(n)$

and the $F^{\pm}_{ab}$ are odd. The relations are

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
 & [E^{ab}_+, E^{cd}_+] = \delta^{bc}E^{ad}_+ - \delta^{ad}E^{cb}_+\ , & [E^{ab}_-, E^{cd}_-] = \delta^{bc}E^{ad}_- - \delta^{ad}E^{cb}_-\ , & [E^{ab}_+, E^{cd}_-] = 0\ , \\
 & [E^{ab}_+, F^{cd}_+] = \delta^{bc}F^{ad}_+\ , & [E^{ab}_-, F^{cd}_-] = \delta^{bc}F^{ad}_-\ , & \\
(2.4) & [E^{ab}_+, F^{cd}_-] = -\delta^{ad}F^{cb}_-\ , & [E^{ab}_-, F^{cd}_+] = -\delta^{ad}F^{cb}_+\ , & \\
 & [F^{ab}_+, F^{cd}_-] = \delta^{bc}E^{ad}_+ + \delta^{ad}E^{cb}_-\ , & [F^{ab}_+, F^{cd}_+] = [F^{ab}_-, F^{cd}_-] = 0\ . &
\end{array}
$$

The element $C = \sum_a (E^{aa}_+ + E^{aa}_-)$ is central. The quotient of $\mathfrak{gl}(n|n)$ by the one-dimensional ideal generated by C is the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{pgl}(n|n)$. This superalgebra is not simple, since the element $K = \sum_a (E^{aa}_+ - E^{aa}_-)$ is not in the derived subalgebra. Nonetheless $\mathfrak{pgl}(n|n)$ possesses a unique Casimir operator, which acts trivially in the adjoint representation. Hence, the dual Coxeter number is zero. The affine vertex superalgebra of $\mathfrak{pgl}(n|n)$ at critical level $k = 0$ is generated by $\{X^\xi |\ \xi \in \mathfrak{pgl}(n|n)\}$. The operator product algebra is

$$X^\xi(z)X^\eta(w) \sim X^{[\xi,\eta]}(w)(z-w)^{-1}. \tag{2.5}$$

Example 2.3 ($\beta\gamma$ and bc systems)**.** Let V be a finite-dimensional complex vector space. The $\beta\gamma$ system or algebra of chiral differential operators $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}(V)$ was introduced in [FMS]. It is the unique vertex algebra with even generators $\beta^x(z)$, $\gamma^{x'}(z)$ for $x \in V$, $x' \in V^*$, which satisfy

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta^x(z)\gamma^{x'}(w) &\sim \langle x', x\rangle (z-w)^{-1}, \qquad \gamma^{x'}(z)\beta^x(w) \sim -\langle x', x\rangle (z-w)^{-1}, \\
\beta^x(z)\beta^y(w) &\sim 0, \qquad \gamma^{x'}(z)\gamma^{y'}(w) \sim 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.6}
$$

Here $\langle , \rangle$ denotes the natural pairing between V^* and V. We give $\mathcal{S}$ the conformal structure

$$L_{\mathcal{S}}(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} :\beta^{x_i}(z)\partial\gamma^{x'_i}(z):\ , \tag{2.7}$$

under which $\beta^{x_i}(z)$ and $\gamma^{x'_i}(z)$ are primary of weights 1 and 0, respectively. Here $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ is a basis for V and $\{x'_1, \dots, x'_n\}$ is the dual basis for V^*.

Similarly, the bc system $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}(V)$, which was also introduced in [FMS], is the unique vertex superalgebra with odd generators $b^x(z)$, $c^{x'}(z)$ for $x \in V$, $x' \in V^*$, which satisfy

$$
\begin{aligned}
b^x(z)c^{x'}(w) &\sim \langle x', x\rangle (z-w)^{-1}, \qquad c^{x'}(z)b^x(w) \sim \langle x', x\rangle (z-w)^{-1}, \\
b^x(z)b^y(w) &\sim 0, \qquad c^{x'}(z)c^{y'}(w) \sim 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.8}
$$

We give $\mathcal{E}$ the conformal structure

$$L_{\mathcal{E}}(z) = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} :b^{x_i}(z)\partial c^{x'_i}(z):\ , \tag{2.9}$$

under which $b^{x_i}(z)$ and $c^{x'_i}(z)$ are primary of conformal weights 1 and 0, respectively.

Example 2.4 (The $\mathcal{W}^{(2)}_n$-algebra at critical level)**.** The $\mathcal{W}^{(2)}_n$-algebra of level k can be obtained as a quantum reduction of the affine vertex algebra $V_k(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ [BT, FF]. It contains a rank one Heisenberg algebra and for generic level a Virasoro algebra of central charge

$$c_n(k) = -\frac{\big((k+n)(n-1)-n\big)\big((k+n)(n-2)n-n^2+1\big)}{k+n}\ . \tag{2.10}$$

The operator product algebra is unknown for general n. However Feigin and Semikhatov obtained this algebra for $n = 2, 3, 4$ [FS]. The $\mathcal{W}^{(2)}_n$-algebra at critical level $k + n = 0$

contains a large center but no Virasoro algebra. In the case $n = 2$, the level $k = -2$ $\mathcal{W}_2^{(2)}$-algebra is the affine vertex algebra $V_{-2}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ at critical level. The $\mathcal{W}_3^{(2)}$-algebra is often referred to as the Bershadsky-Polyakov-algebra. It is generated by the generator of a rank one Heisenberg algebra H and fields $X^{\pm}, S_2, S_3$. For generic level, $S_2 = (k+3)T$, where T is the Virasoro field of central charge $c_3(k)$ (2.10). The non-regular operator product algebra at critical level is

$$\begin{aligned}
X^+(z)X^-(w) &\sim 6(z-w)^{-3} - 6H(w)(z-w)^{-2} + \\
&\qquad \Big(3 : H(w)H(w) : - S_2(w) - 3\partial H(w)\Big)(z-w)^{-1} \\
H(z)X^{\pm}(w) &\sim \pm X^{\pm}(w)(z-w)^{-1} \\
H(z)H(w) &\sim -(z-w)^{-2}\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

The $\mathcal{W}_4^{(2)}$-algebra at critical level $k + 4 = 0$ is generated by the generator of a rank one Heisenberg algebra H and fields $X^{\pm}, S_2, S_3, S_4$, satisfying the following nontrivial operator product expansions:

$$\begin{aligned}
X^+(z)X^-(w) &\sim -24(z-w)^{-4} + 24H(w)(z-w)^{-3} + \\
&\qquad \Big(2S_2(w) - 12 : H(w)H(w) : + 12\partial H(w)\Big)(z-w)^{-2} + \\
&\qquad \Big(-2S_3(w) + \partial S_2(w) - 2 : S_2(w)H(w) : + 4 : H(w)H(w)H(w) : + \\
&\qquad\quad - 12 : \partial H(w)H(w) : + 4\partial^2 H(w)\Big)(z-w)^{-1} \\
H(z)X^{\pm}(w) &\sim \pm X^{\pm}(w)(z-w)^{-1} \\
H(z)H(w) &\sim -(z-w)^{-2}\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

The commutant construction. Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a vertex algebra, and let $\mathcal{A}$ be a subalgebra of $\mathcal{V}$. The commutant of $\mathcal{A}$ in $\mathcal{V}$, denoted by $Com(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V})$, is the subalgebra of vertex operators $v \in \mathcal{V}$ such that $[a(z), v(w)] = 0$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Equivalently, $a(z) \circ_n v(z) = 0$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $n \geq 0$. We regard $Com(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V})$ as the algebra of invariants in $\mathcal{V}$ under the action of $\mathcal{A}$. If $\mathcal{A}$ is a homomorphic image of an affine vertex algebra $V_k(\mathfrak{g}, B)$ for some Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and bilinear form B, $Com(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{V})$ is just the invariant space $\mathcal{V}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}$.

The Zhu functor. Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a vertex algebra with weight grading $\mathcal{V} = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{V}_n$. The Zhu functor [Zh] attaches to $\mathcal{V}$ an associative algebra $A(\mathcal{V})$, together with a surjective linear map $\pi_{\text{Zhu}} : \mathcal{V} \to A(\mathcal{V})$. For $a \in \mathcal{V}_m$, and $b \in \mathcal{V}$, define

$$a * b = Res_z\left(a(z)\frac{(z+1)^m}{z} b\right),$$

and extend $*$ by linearity to a bilinear operation $\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathcal{V} \to \mathcal{V}$. Let $O(\mathcal{V})$ denote the subspace of $\mathcal{V}$ spanned by elements of the form

$$a \circ b = Res_z\left(a(z)\frac{(z+1)^m}{z^2} b\right),$$

for $a \in \mathcal{V}_m$, and let $A(\mathcal{V})$ be the quotient $\mathcal{V}/O(\mathcal{V})$, with projection $\pi_{\text{Zhu}} : \mathcal{V} \to A(\mathcal{V})$. For $a, b \in \mathcal{V}$, $a \sim b$ means $a - b \in O(\mathcal{V})$, and $[a]$ denotes the image of a in $A(\mathcal{V})$.

Theorem 2.5. *(Zhu) $O(V)$ is a two-sided ideal in V under the product $*$, and $(A(V), *)$ is an associative algebra with unit $[1]$. The assignment $\mathcal{V} \mapsto A(\mathcal{V})$ is functorial.*

Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a vertex algebra which is strongly generated by a set of weight-homogeneous elements α_i of weights w_i, for i in some index set I. Then $A(\mathcal{V})$ is generated by $\{a_i = \pi_{\text{Zhu}}(\alpha_i(z))|\ i \in I\}$. For example, given a Lie (super)algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ with a bilinear form B, it is well known that the Zhu algebra $A(V_k(\mathfrak{g}, B))$ is isomorphic to the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$. Given a finite-dimensional vector space V, the Zhu algebra of $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}(V)$ is isomorphic to the Weyl algebra $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}(V)$, which is the associative algebra with generators x_i', $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i'}$ and relations $[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i'}, x_j'] = \delta_{i,j}$. The main application of the Zhu functor is to study the representation theory of $\mathcal{V}$. A $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$-graded module $M = \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} M_n$ over $\mathcal{V}$ is called *admissible* if for every $a \in \mathcal{V}_m$, $a(n)M_k \subset M_{m+k-n-1}$, for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Given $a \in \mathcal{V}_m$, the Fourier mode $a(m-1)$ acts on each M_k. The subspace M_0 is then a module over $A(\mathcal{V})$ with action $[a] \mapsto a(m-1) \in \text{End}(M_0)$. In fact, $M \mapsto M_0$ provides a one-to-one correspondence between irreducible, admissible $\mathcal{V}$-modules and irreducible $A(\mathcal{V})$-modules.

The Zhu functor and the commutant construction interact in the following way: for any subalgebra $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{V}$, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} Com(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{V}) \arrow[r, hook] \arrow[d, "\pi"] & \mathcal{V} \arrow[d, "\pi_{\text{Zhu}}"] \\ Com(B, A(\mathcal{V})) \arrow[r, hook] & A(\mathcal{V}) \end{tikzcd} \tag{2.13}$$

Here $B = \pi_{\text{Zhu}}(\mathcal{B}) \subset A(\mathcal{V})$, and $Com(B, A(\mathcal{V}))$ is the ordinary commutant in the theory of associative algebras. The horizontal maps are inclusions, and π is the restriction of π_{Zhu} to $Com(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{V})$. In general, the map π need not be surjective and $A(Com(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{V}))$ need not coincide with $Com(B, A(\mathcal{V}))$. However, as we shall see, both these statements are true in the main examples we consider in this paper.

3. GRADED AND FILTERED STRUCTURES

Let $\mathcal{R}$ be the category of vertex algebras $\mathcal{A}$ equipped with a $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$-filtration

$$\mathcal{A}_{(0)} \subset \mathcal{A}_{(1)} \subset \mathcal{A}_{(2)} \subset \cdots, \quad \mathcal{A} = \bigcup_{k\geq 0} \mathcal{A}_{(k)} \tag{3.1}$$

such that $\mathcal{A}_{(0)} = \mathbb{C}$, and for all $a \in \mathcal{A}_{(k)}$, $b \in \mathcal{A}_{(l)}$, we have

$$a \circ_n b \in \mathcal{A}_{(k+l)}, \quad \text{for } n < 0, \tag{3.2}$$

$$a \circ_n b \in \mathcal{A}_{(k+l-1)}, \quad \text{for } n \geq 0. \tag{3.3}$$

Elements $a(z) \in \mathcal{A}_{(d)} \setminus \mathcal{A}_{(d-1)}$ are said to have degree d.

Filtrations on vertex algebras satisfying (3.2)-(3.3) were introduced in [LiII], and are known as *good increasing filtrations*. Setting $\mathcal{A}_{(-1)} = \{0\}$, the associated graded object $gr(\mathcal{A}) = \bigoplus_{k\geq 0} \mathcal{A}_{(k)}/\mathcal{A}_{(k-1)}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$-graded associative, supercommutative algebra with a unit 1 under a product induced by the Wick product on $\mathcal{A}$. For each $r \geq 1$ we have the projection

$$\phi_r : \mathcal{A}_{(r)} \to \mathcal{A}_{(r)}/\mathcal{A}_{(r-1)} \subset gr(\mathcal{A}). \tag{3.4}$$

Moreover, $gr(\mathcal{A})$ has a derivation ∂ of degree zero (induced by the operator $\partial = \frac{d}{dz}$ on $\mathcal{A}$), and for each $a \in \mathcal{A}_{(d)}$ and $n \geq 0$, the operator $a\circ_n$ on $\mathcal{A}$ induces a derivation of degree $d-k$ on $gr(\mathcal{A})$, which we denote by $a(n)$. Here

$$k = sup\{j \geq 1 |\ \mathcal{A}_{(r)} \circ_n \mathcal{A}_{(s)} \subset \mathcal{A}_{(r+s-j)}\ \forall r, s, n \geq 0\},$$

as in [LL]. Finally, these derivations give $gr(\mathcal{A})$ the structure of a vertex Poisson algebra.

The assignment $\mathcal{A} \mapsto gr(\mathcal{A})$ is a functor from $\mathcal{R}$ to the category of $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$-graded supercommutative rings with a differential ∂ of degree 0, which we will call ∂-rings. A ∂-ring is the same as an *abelian* vertex algebra, that is, a vertex algebra $\mathcal{V}$ in which $[a(z), b(w)] = 0$ for all $a, b \in \mathcal{V}$. A ∂-ring A is said to be generated by a subset $\{a_i |\ i \in I\}$ if $\{\partial^k a_i |\ i \in I, k \geq 0\}$ generates A as a graded ring. The key feature of $\mathcal{R}$ is the following reconstruction property [LL]:

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a vertex algebra in $\mathcal{R}$ and let $\{a_i |\ i \in I\}$ be a set of generators for $gr(\mathcal{A})$ as a ∂-ring, where a_i is homogeneous of degree d_i. If $a_i(z) \in \mathcal{A}_{(d_i)}$ are vertex operators such that $\phi_{d_i}(a_i(z)) = a_i$, then $\mathcal{A}$ is strongly generated as a vertex algebra by $\{a_i(z) |\ i \in I\}$.*

For example, the $\beta\gamma$ system $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}(V)$ has such a filtration, where $\mathcal{S}_{(r)}$ is defined to be the linear span of

$$\{: \partial^{k_1}\beta^{x_1} \cdots \partial^{k_s}\beta^{x_s}\partial^{l_1}\gamma^{y'_1} \cdots \partial^{l_t}\gamma^{y'_t} : |\ x_i \in V,\ y'_i \in V^*,\ k_i, l_i \geq 0,\ s+t \leq r\}. \tag{3.5}$$

Then $\mathcal{S} \cong gr(\mathcal{S})$ as linear spaces, and as a commutative algebra, we have

$$gr(\mathcal{S}) \cong Sym \bigoplus_{k\geq 0}(V_k \oplus V^*_k), \quad V_k = \{\beta^x_k |\ x \in V\}, \quad V^*_k = \{\gamma^{x'}_k |\ x' \in V^*\}. \tag{3.6}$$

Here β^x_k and $\gamma^{x'}_k$ are the images of $\partial^k\beta^x(z)$ and $\partial^k\gamma^{x'}(z)$ in $gr(\mathcal{S})$ under the projection $\phi_1 : \mathcal{S}_{(1)} \to \mathcal{S}_{(1)}/\mathcal{S}_{(0)} \subset gr(\mathcal{S})$. Similarly, $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}(V)$ admits such a filtration where $\mathcal{E}_{(r)}$ is spanned by the iterated Wick products of $b^x, c^{x'}$ and their derivatives, of length at most r. As above, we have $\mathcal{E} \cong gr(\mathcal{E})$ as linear spaces and

$$gr(\mathcal{E}) \cong \bigwedge \bigoplus_{k\geq 0}(V_k \oplus V^*_k) \quad V_k = \{b^x_k |\ x \in V\}, \quad V^*_k = \{c^{x'}_k |\ x' \in V^*\} \tag{3.7}$$

as supercommutative algebras. These filtrations are important because they allow the description of a commutant to be reduced to a problem in commutative algebra.

4. A FREE FIELD REALIZATION OF $\mathfrak{pgl}(n|n)$

For $n \geq 1$, the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{pgl}(n|n)$ has a grading

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1,$$

where the odd subalgebras $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_1$ both have dimension n^2, and the even subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{sl}_n \oplus \mathfrak{sl}_n \oplus \mathfrak{gl}_1$. The corresponding affine vertex superalgebra at critical level $V_0(\mathfrak{g})$ (example 2.2) has a free field realization as a subalgebra of the $bc\beta\gamma$ system $\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{S}$ associated to the vector space V of $n \times n$ complex matrices. We work in the basis x_{ab} for V and dual basis x'_{ab} for V^*, for $a, b = 1, \dots, n$. We denote the generators $\beta^{x_{ab}}$, $\gamma^{x'_{ab}}$, $b^{x_{ab}}$,

$c^{x'_{ab}}$ for $\mathcal{W}$ by β^{ab}, γ^{ab}, b^{ab}, c^{ab}, respectively. We use the convention that repeated indices are always summed over. The free field realization of $V_0(\mathfrak{g})$ is given as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} F_-^{ab} &= -b_{ba}\,, \\ E_+^{ab} &= B_+^{ab} - :b^{bc}c^{ac}:\,, &&\text{where } B_+^{ab} = -:\beta^{ac}\gamma^{bc}:\,, \\ E_-^{ab} &= B_-^{ab} + :b^{ca}c^{cb}:\,, &&\text{where } B_-^{ab} =: \gamma^{ca}\beta^{cb}:\,, \\ F_+^{ab} &= -:c^{cb}B_+^{ac}: - :c^{ac}B_-^{cb}: - :b^{cd}c^{cb}c^{ad}:\ . \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\sum_a (E_+^{aa} + E_-^{aa}) = 0$. The realization is very similar to a realization of the affine vertex superalgebra of $\mathfrak{gl}(n|n)$ [CR]. Also note that the elements $B_\pm^{ab}$ generate $V(\mathfrak{gl}_1)$ plus two commuting copies of $V_{-n}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$, which has critical level, acting on $\mathcal{S}$. The derived subalgebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} = [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ is $\mathfrak{psl}(n|n)$, which has a grading

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{-1} \oplus \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_0 \oplus \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_1,$$

where $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{\pm 1} = \mathfrak{g}_{\pm 1}$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_0 = \mathfrak{sl}_n \oplus \mathfrak{sl}_n$. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the image of $V_0(\mathfrak{psl}(n|n))$ inside $\mathcal{W}$, and let $\mathcal{B}$ be the image of $V_{-n}(\mathfrak{sl}_n) \otimes V_{-n}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ inside $\mathcal{S}$.

Lemma 4.1. *The commutant $\mathcal{C} = Com(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{W})$ coincides with $Com(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{S})$, and in particular is purely bosonic.*

Proof. Clearly $Com(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{S}) \subset \mathcal{C}$, and to prove the opposite inclusion, it is enough to prove that $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{S}$, since $\mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{C} = Com(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{S})$. First, $\mathcal{W}$ is graded by fermionic charge, which is just the eigenvalue of the zero mode of $F = -\sum_{a,b=1}^n :b^{ab}c^{ab}:$. Each b^{ab} and c^{ab} have fermionic charge -1 and 1, respectively. Since $E_\pm^{ab}$, F_+^{ab}, and F_-^{ab} are homogeneous of fermionic charge 0, 1, and -1, respectively, $\mathcal{C}$ is graded by fermionic charge.

Let $\omega \in \mathcal{C}$ have homogeneous fermionic charge. Since ω commutes with $F_-^{ba} = b^{ab}$ for all $a, b = 1, \dots, n$, ω does not depend on the vertex operators c^{ab} and their derivatives. Therefore $\omega \in (\langle b \rangle \otimes \mathcal{S})^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}$ where $\langle b \rangle$ is the vertex algebra generated by the b^{ab}, and thus has fermionic charge $-r$ for some $r \geq 0$. We need to show that $r = 0$, which proves that $\omega \in \mathcal{S}$.

Recall that $gr(\mathcal{S}) = \mathbb{C}[\beta_k^{ab}, \gamma_k^{ab}]$ is graded by degree, where each $\beta_k^{ab}, \gamma_k^{ab}$ has degree 1. Define an auxiliary gradation on $gr(\mathcal{S})$ called *height* as follows:

$$ht(\gamma^{aa}) = a, \qquad deg(\beta^{a+1,a}) = n + a.$$

Given a vertex operator $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}_{(d)} \setminus \mathcal{S}_{(d-1)}$ of degree d, define $ht(\alpha) = ht(\phi_d(\alpha))$ where $\phi_d : \mathcal{S}_{(d)} \to \mathcal{S}_{(d)}/\mathcal{S}_{(d-1)} \subset gr(\mathcal{S})$ is the usual projection.

Write $\omega = \omega_0 + \omega_1$, where

$$\omega_0 = \sum_{CBK} :\partial^{k_1} b^{c_1,b_1} \cdots \partial^{k_r} b^{c_r,b_r} P_{CBK}:, \quad C = \{c_1, \dots, c_r\}, \quad B = \{b_1, \dots, b_r\}, \quad K = \{k_1, \dots, k_r\},$$

with $deg(P_{CBK}) = e$ and $ht(P_{CBK}) = h$. Assume that ω_0 is the "leading term" of ω in the sense that all terms appearing in ω_1 are of the form $:\partial^{k'_1} b^{c'_1,b'_1} \cdots \partial^{k'_r} b^{c'_r,b'_r} P':$ with either $deg(P') < e$ or $deg(P') = e$ and $ht(P') < h$.

Let c be the largest integer appearing the list C above, and let b be the largest integer such that b^{cb} or any of its derivatives appears in ω_0. Let k be the largest integer for which $\partial^k b^{cb}$ appears, and write $\omega_0 =: \partial^k b^{cb}(W): + W'$, where W' does not depend on $\partial^k b^{cb}$. Suppose first that $1 \leq c < n$.

Let $a = c+1$ and act on ω by $F^{ab}_{+}\circ_k$. Recall that

$$F^{ab}_{+} =: c^{cb}\beta^{ad}\gamma^{cd} : - : c^{ac}\gamma^{dc}\beta^{db} : + \alpha,$$

where $\alpha \in \mathcal{E}$ and can be disregarded, since it cannot raise the degree.

There will be a term in $F^{ab}_{+} \circ_k \omega$ of the form $: \beta^{c+1,c}\gamma^{c,c}W :$, which has degree $e+2$ and height $h+n+2c$. It is easy to see that no other terms of the same degree and height can occur in $F^{ab}_{+} \circ_k \omega$. Moreover, since $gr(\mathcal{S})$ is an integral domain, the image of $: \beta^{c+1,c}\gamma^{c,c}W :$ in $gr(\mathcal{W})$ is nonzero. This contradicts the fact that $\omega \in \mathcal{C}$.

Next, suppose that $c = n$. Let $a = n$, and act as above by $F^{ab}_{+}\circ_k$. We see that $F^{ab} \circ_k \omega$ will contain the term $: \beta^{n,n-1}\gamma^{n,n-1}W :$, which has degree $e+2$ and height $h+2n$ and cannot be canceled by any other term. As above, this contradicts $\omega \in \mathcal{C}$. It follows that $r = 0$ and $\omega \in \mathcal{S}$ as claimed. $\square$

5. COMMUTANTS INSIDE THE $\beta\gamma$ SYSTEM

We are thus led to the problem of computing $Com(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{S})$, which is exactly the type of commutant problem considered in [LSSII]. Let G be a connected, reductive group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, and let V be a finite-dimensional representation of V. The induced map $\rho : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathrm{End}(V)$ induces a vertex algebra homomorphism $\hat{\tau} : V_1(\mathfrak{g}, B) \to \mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}(V)$ given by

$$\hat{\tau}(X^{\xi}(z)) = \theta^{\xi}(z) = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} : \gamma^{x'_i}(z)\beta^{\rho(\xi)(x_i)}(z) : \ . \tag{5.1}$$

Here B is the bilinear form $B(\xi, \eta) = -Tr(\rho(\xi)\rho(\eta))$, and $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ is a basis for V, with dual basis $\{x'_1, \dots, x'_n\}$ for V^*. Let Θ be the vertex algebra generated by $\theta^{\xi}, \xi \in \mathfrak{g}$. The commutant $Com(\Theta, \mathcal{S})$, which coincides with $\mathcal{S}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}$, will be called the algebra of *invariant chiral differential operators* on V. It is analogous to the classical ring $\mathcal{D}^G$ of invariant differential operators. In this notation, $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}(V)$ is the Weyl algebra with generators x', $\frac{\partial}{\partial x'}$ satisfying $[\frac{\partial}{\partial x'_i}, x'_j] = \delta_{i,j}$. Equip $\mathcal{D}$ with the Bernstein filtration

$$\mathcal{D}_{(0)} \subset \mathcal{D}_{(1)} \subset \cdots, \tag{5.2}$$

defined by $(x'_1)^{k_1} \cdots (x'_n)^{k_n} (\frac{\partial}{\partial x'_1})^{l_1} \cdots (\frac{\partial}{\partial x'_n})^{l_n} \in \mathcal{D}_{(r)}$ if $k_1 + \cdots + k_n + l_1 + \cdots + l_n \le r$. Given $\omega \in \mathcal{D}_{(r)}$ and $\nu \in \mathcal{D}_{(s)}$, $[\omega, \nu] \in \mathcal{D}_{(r+s-2)}$, so that

$$gr(\mathcal{D}) = \bigoplus_{r>0} \mathcal{D}_{(r)}/\mathcal{D}_{(r-1)} \cong Sym(V \oplus V^*). \tag{5.3}$$

We say that $deg(\alpha) = d$ if $\alpha \in \mathcal{D}_{(d)} \setminus \mathcal{D}_{(d-1)}$.

The action of G on $\mathcal{D}$ induces a Lie algebra homomorphism

$$\tau : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathcal{D}, \quad \xi \mapsto -\sum_{i=1}^{n} x'_i \rho(\xi)(\frac{\partial}{\partial x'_i}), \tag{5.4}$$

which is analogous to (5.1). Given $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$, $\tau(\xi)$ is just the vector field on V generated by ξ, and ξ acts on $\mathcal{D}$ by $[\tau(\xi), -]$. We can extend τ to a map $U(\mathfrak{g}) \to \mathcal{D}$, and $\mathcal{D}^G = Com(\tau(U(\mathfrak{g})), \mathcal{D})$ since G is connected. Moreover, G preserves the filtration on $\mathcal{D}$ so (5.2) restricts to a filtration $\mathcal{D}^G_{(0)} \subset \mathcal{D}^G_{(1)} \subset \cdots$ on $\mathcal{D}^G$, and

$$gr(\mathcal{D}^G) \cong gr(\mathcal{D})^G \cong Sym(V \oplus V^*)^G.$$

Recall that $V_1(\mathfrak{g}, B)$ and $\mathcal{S}$ are related via the Zhu functor to $U(\mathfrak{g})$ and $\mathcal{D}$, respectively. We have commutative diagrams

(5.5)
$$\begin{tikzcd} V_1(\mathfrak{g}, B) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\pi_{\text{Zhu}}"] & \mathcal{S} \arrow[d, "\pi_{\text{Zhu}}"] \\ U(\mathfrak{g}) \arrow[r] & \mathcal{D} \end{tikzcd}, \qquad \begin{tikzcd} \mathcal{S}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]} \arrow[r, hook] \arrow[d, "\pi"] & \mathcal{S} \arrow[d, "\pi_{\text{Zhu}}"] \\ \mathcal{D}^G \arrow[r, hook] & \mathcal{D} \end{tikzcd},$$

where π is the restriction of the Zhu map on $\mathcal{S}$.

6. JET SCHEMES

A connection between vertex algebras and jet schemes was observed in [FBZ], where the authors pointed out that for any affine variety X, the ring of polynomial functions on the infinite jet scheme or arc space X_∞, has the structure of an abelian vertex algebra. Conversely, the ∂-ring $gr(\mathcal{A})$ of a vertex algebra $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{R}$ can often be realized as the ring of polynomial functions $\mathcal{O}(X_\infty)$ for some X. In our main example, $gr(\mathcal{S})$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}((V \oplus V^*)_\infty)$, and $gr(\mathcal{S})^{\mathfrak{g}[t]} \cong \mathcal{O}(X_\infty)$ where X is the categorical quotient $(V \oplus V^*)/\!\!/G = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}(V \oplus V^*)^G)$. More generally, whenever $\text{Spec}(gr(\mathcal{A}))$ can be realized as X_∞ for some X, the geometry of X_∞ encodes information about the vertex algebra structure of $\mathcal{A}$.

First, we recall some basic facts about jet schemes, following the notation in [Mu]. Let X be an irreducible scheme of finite type over $\mathbb{C}$. For each integer $m \geq 0$, the mth jet scheme X_m is determined by its functor of points: for every $\mathbb{C}$-algebra A, we have a bijection

$$\text{Hom}(\text{Spec}(A), X_m) \cong \text{Hom}(\text{Spec}(A[t]/\langle t^{m+1}\rangle), X).$$

Thus the $\mathbb{C}$-valued points of X_m correspond to the $\mathbb{C}[t]/\langle t^{m+1}\rangle$-valued points of X. If $m > p$, we have projections $\pi_{m,p} : X_m \to X_p$ which are compatible when defined: $\pi_{m,p} \circ \pi_{q,m} = \pi_{q,p}$. Clearly $X_0 = X$ and X_1 is the total tangent space $\text{Spec}(Sym(\Omega_{X/\mathbb{C}}))$. The assignment $X \mapsto X_m$ is functorial, and a morphism $f : X \to Y$ induces $f_m : X_m \to Y_m$ for all $m \geq 1$. If X is nonsingular, X_m is irreducible and nonsingular for all m. Moreover, if X, Y are nonsingular and $f : Y \to X$ is a smooth surjection, f_m is surjective for all m.

If $X = \text{Spec}(R)$ where $R = \mathbb{C}[y_1, \dots, y_r]/\langle f_1, \dots, f_k\rangle$, we can find explicit equations for X_m. Define new variables $y_j^{(i)}$ for $i = 0, \dots, m$, and define a derivation D by $D(y_j^{(i)}) = y_j^{(i+1)}$ for $i < m$, and $D(y_j^{(m)}) = 0$.

This specifies the action of D on all of $\mathbb{C}[y_1^{(0)}, \dots, y_r^{(m)}]$; in particular, $f_l^{(i)} = D^i(f_l)$ is a well-defined polynomial in $\mathbb{C}[y_1^{(0)}, \dots, y_r^{(m)}]$. Letting $R_m = \mathbb{C}[y_1^{(0)}, \dots, y_r^{(m)}]/\langle f_1^{(0)}, \dots, f_k^{(m)}\rangle$, we have $X_m \cong \text{Spec}(R_m)$. By identifying y_j with $y_j^{(0)}$, we see that R is naturally a subalgebra of R_m.

The *arc space* of X is defined to be $X_\infty = \lim_{\infty \leftarrow m} X_m$. If $X = \text{Spec}(R)$ as above, $X_\infty = \text{Spec}(R_\infty)$ where $R_\infty = \mathbb{C}[y_1^{(0)}, \dots, y_j^{(i)}, \dots]/\langle f_1^{(0)}, \dots, f_l^{(i)}, \dots\rangle$. Here $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and $D(y_j^{(i)}) = y_j^{(i+1)}$ for all i. By a theorem of Kolchin [Kol], X_∞ is irreducible whenever X is irreducible.

Let G be a connected, reductive complex algebraic group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. For $m \geq 1$, G_m is an algebraic group which is the semidirect product of G with a unipotent group U_m. The Lie algebra of G_m is $\mathfrak{g}[t]/t^{m+1}$. Given a linear representation V of G, there is an action of G on $\mathcal{O}(V)$ by automorphisms, and a compatible action of $\mathfrak{g}$ on $\mathcal{O}(V)$ by derivations, satisfying

$$\frac{d}{dt} exp(t\xi)(f)|_{t=0} = \xi(f), \quad \xi \in \mathfrak{g}, \quad f \in \mathcal{O}(V).$$

Choose a basis $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ for V^*, so that

$$\mathcal{O}(V) \cong \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n], \qquad \mathcal{O}(V_m) = \mathbb{C}[x_1^{(i)}, \dots, x_n^{(i)}| \,, 0 \leq i \leq m].$$

Then G_m acts on V_m, and the induced action of $\mathfrak{g}[t]/t^{m+1}$ by derivations on $\mathcal{O}(V_m)$ is defined on generators by

$$\xi t^r(x_j^{(i)}) = \lambda_i^r \xi(x_j)^{(i-r)}, \qquad \lambda_i^r = \begin{cases} \frac{i!}{(i-r)!} & 0 \leq r \leq i \\ 0 & r > i \end{cases}. \tag{6.1}$$

Via the projection $\mathfrak{g}[t] \to \mathfrak{g}[t]/t^{m+1}$, $\mathfrak{g}[t]$ acts on $\mathcal{O}(V_m)$, and the invariant rings $\mathcal{O}(V_m)^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}$ and $\mathcal{O}(V_m)^{\mathfrak{g}[t]/t^{m+1}}$ coincide.

The relevance of these invariant rings to our vertex algebra commutant problem is as follows. The map (5.1) induces an action of $\mathfrak{g}[t]$ on $gr(\mathcal{S})$ by derivations of degree zero, defined on generators by

$$\xi t^r(\beta_i^x) = \lambda_i^r \beta_{i-r}^{\rho(\xi)(x)}, \qquad \xi t^r(\gamma_i^{x'}) = \lambda_i^r \gamma_{i-r}^{\rho^*(\xi)(x')}. \tag{6.2}$$

The derivation ∂ on $gr(\mathcal{S})$ is given by

$$\partial \beta_i^x = \beta_{i+1}^x, \qquad \partial \gamma_i^{x'} = \gamma_{i+1}^{x'}. \tag{6.3}$$

There is an injective map of ∂-rings

$$gr(\mathcal{S}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}) \hookrightarrow gr(\mathcal{S})^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}, \tag{6.4}$$

which is in general not surjective. Let R denote the image of (6.4), and suppose that $\{a_i | i \in I\}$ is a collection of generators for R as a ∂-ring. By Lemma 3.1, any collection of vertex operators $\{a_i(z) \in \mathcal{S}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]} |\ i \in I\}$ such that $d_i = deg(a_i)$ and $\phi_{d_i}(a_i(z)) = a_i$, is a strong generating set for $\mathcal{S}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}$ as a vertex algebra.

By (6.2) and (6.1) that the map $\Phi : gr(\mathcal{S}) \to \mathcal{O}((V \oplus V^*)_\infty)$ defined on generators by

$$\beta_k^x \mapsto x^{(k)}, \qquad \gamma_k^{x'} \mapsto (x')^{(k)},$$

is an isomorphism of $\mathfrak{g}[t]$-algebras. Moreover, $\Phi^{-1} D \circ \Phi = \partial$, so we have an isomorphism of differential graded algebras $gr(\mathcal{S})^{\mathfrak{g}[t]} \cong \mathcal{O}((V \oplus V^*)_\infty)^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}$. Since G is connected, $\mathcal{O}((V \oplus V^*)_\infty)^{\mathfrak{g}[t]} = \mathcal{O}((V \oplus V^*)_\infty)^{G_\infty}$, so we obtain

Lemma 6.1. *$gr(\mathcal{S})^{\mathfrak{g}[t]} \cong \mathcal{O}((V \oplus V^*)_\infty)^{G_\infty}$ as differential graded algebras.*

In general, it is a very subtle problem to find generators for rings of the form $\mathcal{O}((U)_\infty)^{G_\infty}$ for a G-representation U. There is a natural map

$$\mathcal{O}((U /\!\!/ G)_\infty) \to \mathcal{O}(U_\infty)^{G_\infty} \tag{6.5}$$

which is not an isomorphism in general. We call U *stable* if the general G-orbit is closed in U, and we call U *coregular* if $U /\!\!/ G$ is smooth, equivalently; $\mathcal{O}(V)^G$ is a polynomial ring. The following result follows from Corollary 3.17 of [LSSI] by taking the limit as $m \to \infty$.

Theorem 6.2. *Let G be a connected, reductive group, and let U be a G-representation which is stable and coregular, such that $\mathcal{O}(U)$ contains no nontrivial one-dimensional G-invariant subspaces. Then* (6.5) *is an isomorphism. In particular, if $\mathcal{O}(U)^G$ is generated by polynomials $f_1, \dots, f_k$, $\mathcal{O}(U_\infty)^{G_\infty}$ is generated by $D^i(f_1), \dots, D^i(f_k)$, for $i \geq 0$.*

7. THE MAIN EXAMPLE

We are interested in $Com(\Theta, \mathcal{S}) = \mathcal{S}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}$ in the case where Θ is the image of $V_{-n}(\mathfrak{sl}_n) \otimes V_{-n}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ in $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}(V)$, and V is the space of $n \times n$ matrices. First we consider the corresponding problem involving invariant differential operators. For $j = 1, \dots, n$, let $W_j = \mathbb{C}^n$, with basis $\{x_{1j}, \dots, x_{nj}\}$, so that $V = \bigoplus_{j=1}^n W_j$. The Weyl algebra $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}(V)$ has generators $x'_{ij}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x'_{ij}}$, for $i, j = 1, \dots, n$. The left and right actions of GL_n on V induce algebra homomorphisms

$$\tau : U(\mathfrak{gl}_n) \to \mathcal{D}, \quad \tau' : U(\mathfrak{gl}_n) \to \mathcal{D} \tag{7.1}$$

such that $\xi \in \mathfrak{gl}_n$ and $\eta \in \mathfrak{gl}_n$ act on $\mathcal{D}$ by $[\tau(\xi), -]$ and $[\tau'(\eta), -]$, respectively. It is well known [GW] that for the left action of GL_n, $\mathcal{D}^{GL_n} = \tau'(U(\mathfrak{gl}_n))$, and for the right action of GL_n, $\mathcal{D}^{GL_n} = \tau(U(\mathfrak{gl}_n))$. Moreover, $\tau(U(\mathfrak{gl}_m))$ and $\tau'(U(\mathfrak{gl}_n))$ form a pair of mutual commutants inside $\mathcal{D}$. It follows that

$$\mathcal{D}^{GL_n \times GL_n} = \tau(U(\mathfrak{gl}_n)) \cap \tau'(U(\mathfrak{gl}_n)),$$

which is isomorphic to the center $Z(\mathfrak{gl}_n) \subset U(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$, and is just the polynomial algebra $\mathbb{C}[c_1, \dots, c_n]$. Here $c_i = \tau(\zeta_i)$, where ζ_i is the ith Casimir in $Z(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$. Note that c_i has degree $2i$ in the Bernstein filtration and $\tau(Z(\mathfrak{gl}_n)) = \tau'(Z(\mathfrak{gl}_n))$.

For $n \geq 2$, $\mathcal{D}^{SL_n \times SL_n}$ is generated by $c_1, \dots, c_n$ together with the $n \times n$ determinants $d = \det[\frac{\partial}{\partial x'_{ij}}]$ and $d' = \det[x'_{ij}]$. This follows from Weyl's first fundamental theorem of invariant theory for the standard representation of SL_n [We], which shows that $\mathcal{D}^{SL_n}$ is generated by $\mathcal{D}^{GL_n} \cong U(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ together with d, d', and the fact that $Z(\mathfrak{gl}_n) \cong \mathbb{C}[c_1, \dots, c_n]$. Moreover, $c_2, \dots, c_n$ lie in the center of $\mathcal{D}^{SL_n \times SL_n}$. Let $\tilde{d}, \tilde{d}', \tilde{c}_1 \dots \tilde{c}_n$ denote the corresponding elements of $gr(\mathcal{D}^{SL_n \times SL_n}) \cong \mathcal{O}(V \oplus V^*)^{SL_n \times SL_n}$. The ideal of relations among $\tilde{d}, \tilde{d}', \tilde{c}_1 \dots \tilde{c}_n$ is generated by a single relation of degree $2n$ of the form

$$\tilde{d}\tilde{d}' + p(\tilde{c}_1 \dots \tilde{c}_n) = 0, \tag{7.2}$$

for some polynomial p. We can write down a formula for p as follows. Recall that $\tilde{c}_k$ is the trace of X^k, where X is matrix whose ijth entry is $\tau(\xi_{ij})$. Clearly $\det(X) = \tilde{d}\tilde{d}'$. Moreover, the determinant of any $n \times n$ matrix X can be expressed in terms of traces of powers of X. This formula is called the Newton-Girard formula. Let $E_0(X) = 1$ and for $1 \leq m \leq n$ define recursively

$$E_m(X) \ = \ -\frac{(-1)^m}{m} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \mathrm{tr}(X^k) E_{m-k}(X)\,. \tag{7.3}$$

Then $\det(X) = E_n(X)$. In particular, p contains a nontrivial multiple of $\tilde{c}_n$, so $\tilde{c}_n$ can be expressed as a polynomial in $\tilde{d}, \tilde{d}', \tilde{c}_1 \dots \tilde{c}_{n-1}$. After eliminating $\tilde{c}_n$, there are no relations among $\tilde{d}, \tilde{d}', \tilde{c}_1 \dots \tilde{c}_{n-1}$, so $gr(\mathcal{D})^{SL_n \times SL_n} = \mathcal{O}(V \oplus V^*)^{SL_n \times SL_n}$ is a polynomial algebra.

Lemma 7.1. *In the case where V is the space of $n \times n$ matrices and $G = SL_n \times SL_n$, we have*

$$\mathcal{O}((V \oplus V^*)_\infty)^{\mathfrak{g}[t]} = \mathcal{O}(((V \oplus V^*)/\!\!/G)_\infty).$$

Proof. It is known that any G-representation of the form $V \oplus V^*$ is stable. Since G is semisimple, $\mathcal{O}(V \oplus V^*)$ contains no nontrivial one-dimensional G-invariant subspaces. Since $\mathcal{O}(V \oplus V^*)^G$ is a polynomial algebra, the claim follows from Theorem 6.2. ☐

At the vertex algebra level, we obtain maps

$$\hat{\tau} : V_{-n}(\mathfrak{sl}_n) \to \mathcal{S}, \qquad \hat{\tau}' : V_{-n}(\mathfrak{sl}_n) \to \mathcal{S} \tag{7.4}$$

corresponding to the left and right actions of SL_n on V. In order to study $Com(\Theta, \mathcal{S}) = \mathcal{S}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}$ for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_n \oplus \mathfrak{sl}_n$, we first consider the structure of $gr(\mathcal{S})^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}$. By Lemma 7.1, $\mathcal{O}((V \oplus V^*)_\infty)^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}$ is generated as a differential algebra by $\mathcal{O}(V \oplus V^*)^G$, and since $\mathcal{O}(V \oplus V^*)^G$ is the polynomial algebra with generators $\tilde{d}, \tilde{d}', \tilde{c}_1, \dots, \tilde{c}_{n-1}$, it follows that $\mathcal{O}((V \oplus V^*)_\infty)^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}$ is the polynomial algebra with generators $\partial^k \tilde{d}, \partial^k \tilde{d}', \partial^k \tilde{c}_1, \dots \partial^k \tilde{c}_{n-1}$, for all $k \geq 0$. Under the isomorphism $\mathcal{O}((V \oplus V^*)_\infty)^{\mathfrak{g}[t]} \cong gr(\mathcal{S})^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}$, the generators $\tilde{d}, \tilde{d}', \tilde{c}_1, \dots, \tilde{c}_{n-1}$ correspond to generators for $gr(\mathcal{S})^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}$ as a differential algebra, which we also denote by $\tilde{d}, \tilde{d}', \tilde{c}_1, \dots, \tilde{c}_{n-1}$.

Lemma 7.2. *In the case where V is the space of $n \times n$ matrices and $G = SL_n \times SL_n$, the map* (6.4) *is surjective, and is therefore an isomorphism.*

Proof. We need to find vertex operators $D, D', C_1, \dots, C_{n-1} \in \mathcal{S}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}$ such that

(1) D, D' lie in $\mathcal{S}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}_{(n)}$, and $\phi_n(D) = \tilde{d}$, $\phi_n(D') = \tilde{d}'$.

(2) For $i = 1, \dots, n-1$, $C_i \in \mathcal{S}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}_{(2i)}$, and $\phi_{2i}(C_i) = \tilde{c}_i$,

In this notation, $\phi_r : \mathcal{S}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}_{(r)} \to \mathcal{S}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}_{(r)} / \mathcal{S}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}_{(r-1)} \subset gr(\mathcal{S}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]})$ is the projection (3.4). Recall that $d' \in \mathcal{D}^G$ is the $n \times n$ determinant of the matrix whose entries are the linear functions x'_{ij} on V. The corresponding element of $gr(\mathcal{S})^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}$ is the $n \times n$ determinant of the matrix whose entries are $\gamma_0^{x'_{ij}}$. Letting D' be the vertex operator in $\mathcal{S}$ obtained from d' by replacing $\gamma_0^{x'_{ij}}$ with the vertex operator $\gamma^{x'_{ij}}$, and replacing all products with iterated Wick products, it is immediate that D' is G-invariant. Moreover, D' is $\mathfrak{g}[t]$-invariant because it only depends on the $\gamma^{x'_{ij}}$, and therefore can have no double contractions with the fields θ^ξ for $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$. Therefore D' lies in $\mathcal{S}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}$, and the fact that $\phi_n(D') = \tilde{d}'$ is clear by construction. The vertex operator D is defined in the same way with $\beta^{x_{ij}}$ playing the role of $\gamma^{x'_{ij}}$, and the same argument shows that $D \in \mathcal{S}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}$ and $\phi_n(D) = d$. We define

$$C_1 = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} :\beta^{x_{ij}} \gamma^{x'_{ij}}:,$$

which is easily seen to have the desired properties. Finally, since $V_{-n}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ has critical level, the center of $V_{-n}(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ has generators $\tilde{C}_2, \dots, \tilde{C}_n$ corresponding to the center of $U(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$. We define $C_i = \hat{\tau}(\tilde{C}_i)$, which clearly lies in $\mathcal{S}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}$ and satisfies $\phi_{2i}(C_i) = \tilde{c}_i$. The existence of these elements of $\mathcal{S}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}$ implies that (6.4) is surjective, as claimed. ☐

It follows from Lemma 3.1 that $\{D, D', C_1, \dots, C_{n-1}\}$ is a strong generating set for $\mathcal{S}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}$. Since $C_2, \dots, C_{n-1}$ are normally ordered polynomials in the fields θ^ξ for $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$, they lie in the center of $\mathcal{S}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}$. This is analogous to the fact that $c_2, \dots, c_{n-1}$ lie in the center of $\mathcal{D}^G$. Moreover, since $gr(\mathcal{S})^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}$ is a polynomial algebra with generators $\tilde{d}, \tilde{d}', \tilde{c}_1, \dots, \tilde{c}_{n-1}$ and their derivatives, there are no normally ordered polynomial relations among the vertex operators $D, D', C_1, \dots, C_{n-1}$ and their derivatives. Thus we have proved

Theorem 7.3. *For all $n \geq 2$, $\mathcal{S}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}$ is freely generated as a vertex algebra by $\{D, D', C_1, \dots, C_{n-1}\}$.*

We write down all nontrivial OPE relations in $\mathcal{S}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}$ in the cases $n = 2, 3, 4$ for the sake of concreteness. For general n, we are able to compute the leading terms.

- In the case $n = 2$, the generators are C_1, D, D', which satisfy the OPE relations

$$\begin{aligned} C_1(z)C_1(w) &\sim -4(z-w)^{-2}\,, \\ C_1(z)D(w) &\sim -2D(w)(z-w)^{-1}\,, \\ C_1(z)D'(w) &\sim 2D'(w)(z-w)^{-1}\,, \\ D(z)D'(w) &\sim 2(z-w)^{-2} + C_1(w)(z-w)^{-1}\,. \end{aligned}$$

  Thus $\mathcal{S}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}$ is precisely the critical level affine vertex algebra $V_{-2}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$.
- In the case $n = 3$, the generators are C_1, C_2, D, D', with nontrivial OPE relations

$$\begin{aligned} C_1(z)C_1(w) &\sim -9(z-w)^{2}\,, \\ C_1(z)D(w) &\sim -3D(w)(z-w)^{-1}\,, \\ C_1(z)D'(w) &\sim 3D'(w)(z-w)^{-1}\,, \\ D(z)D'(w) &\sim 6(z-w)^{-3} + 2C_1(w)(z-w)^{-2} + \\ &\qquad + \Big(\frac{1}{3} :C_1(w)C_1(w): - \frac{1}{2}L(w) + \partial C_1(w)\Big)(z-w)^{-1}\,. \end{aligned}$$

  We see that these are precisely the relations of the critical level $\mathcal{W}_3^{(2)}$-algebra (2.11).
- In the case $n = 4$, the generators are C_1, C_2, C_3, D, D', with nontrivial OPE relations

$$\begin{aligned} C_1(z)C_1(w) &\sim -16(z-w)^{-1}\,, \\ C_1(z)D(w) &\sim -4D(w)(z-w)^{-1}\,, \\ C_1(z)D'(w) &\sim 4D'(w)(z-w)^{-1}\,, \\ D(z)D'(w) &\sim 24(z-w)^{-4} + 6C_1(w)(z-w)^{-3} + \\ &\qquad + \Big(-C_2(w) + \frac{3}{4} :C_1(w)C_1(w): + 3\partial C_1(w)\Big)(z-w)^{-2} + \\ &\qquad + \Big(-\frac{1}{8}C_3(w) - \frac{1}{4} :C_2(w)C_1(w): + \frac{1}{16} :C_1(w)C_1(w)C_1(w): + \\ &\qquad + \frac{3}{4} :C_1(w)\partial C_1(w): + \partial^2 C_1(w)\Big)(z-w)^{-1}\,. \end{aligned}$$

  We see that these are precisely the relations of the critical level $\mathcal{W}_4^{(2)}$-algebra (2.12).
- For general n, the generators are $C_1, \dots, C_{n-1}, D, D'$, with nontrivial OPE relations

$$\begin{aligned} C_1(z)C_1(w) &\sim -n^2(z-w)^{-1}\,, \\ C_1(z)D(w) &\sim -nD(w)(z-w)^{-1}\,, \\ C_1(z)D'(w) &\sim nD'(w)(z-w)^{-1}\,, \\ D(z)D'(w) &\sim n!(z-w)^{-n} + (n-1)!C_1(w)(z-w)^{-(n-1)} + \dots\,. \end{aligned}$$

  We remark that also in [FS], only the leading OPEs of the $\mathcal{W}_n^{(2)}$-algebra for $n > 4$ were computed. At critical level they agree with our computations. This leads us to conjecture:

Conjecture 7.4. *The commutant $\mathcal{C} = Com(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{W})$ is a central quotient of the $\mathcal{W}_n^{(2)}$-algebra at critical level.*

8. THE REPRESENTATION THEORY OF $\mathcal{C}$

Given a representation V of a connected, reductive group G, recall that we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{S}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]} \arrow[r, hook] \arrow[d, "\pi"] & \mathcal{S} \arrow[d, "\pi_{\text{Zhu}}"] \\
\mathcal{D}^G \arrow[r, hook] & \mathcal{D}
\end{tikzcd},$$

where π is the restriction of $\pi_{\text{Zhu}} : \mathcal{S}(V) \to \mathcal{D}(V)$. In [LSSII], the following result was proven:

Theorem 8.1. *Suppose that $\mathcal{O}((V \oplus V^*)_\infty)^{G_\infty} \cong \mathcal{O}(((V \oplus V^*)/\!\!/G)_\infty)$ and that the map (6.4) is surjective. Then the Zhu algebra $A(\mathcal{S}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]})$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{D}^G$ and the map π above is surjective.*

It follows that in the case where $G = SL_n \times SL_n$ and V is the space of $n \times n$ matrices, $A(\mathcal{S}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}) = \mathcal{D}^G$. Thus the irreducible, admissible modules over $\mathcal{C} = Com(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{W})$ are precisely the irreducible $\mathcal{D}^G$-modules. In this section, we briefly discuss the representation theory of $\mathcal{D}^G$. The structure and representation theory of rings of invariant differential operators is an important classical problem. In general, it is believed that these algebras have many features in common with universal enveloping algebras. They have been well studied in the case where G is abelian [MV], but much less is known for nonabelian groups. The first step in this direction was taken by Schwarz [Sch] in the case where $G = SL_3$ and V is the adjoint module.

In our case, where V is the space of $n \times n$ matrices and $G = SL_n \times SL_n$, $\mathcal{D}^G$ is closely related to $U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. It will be convenient to work with the generating set $d, d', c_1, \dots, c_n$ for $\mathcal{D}^G$, which satisfy relations

$$dd' + P(c_1, \dots, c_n) = 0, \qquad d'd + Q(c_1, \dots, c_n) = 0. \tag{8.1}$$

Here P and Q are inhomogeneous polynomials whose leading terms coincide with the polynomial p given by (7.2). Modulo an explicit formula for P and Q, we will classify the irreducible, finite-dimensional modules over $\mathcal{D}^G$, which are in one-to-one correspondence with the irreducible, admissible $\mathcal{C}$-modules $M = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} M_k$ for which M_0 is finite-dimensional. In the cases $n = 2, 3, 4$, we will write down P and Q explicitly, giving a complete classification of such modules in these cases.

First, we define a notion of Verma module for $\mathcal{D}^G$. Recall that the center $Z(\mathcal{D}^G) \subset \mathcal{D}^G$ is generated by $c_2, \dots, c_n$. Let $A \subset \mathcal{D}^G$ be the subalgebra generated by $d', c_1, \dots, c_n$. Fix $a \in \mathbb{C}$ and a central character λ given by $\lambda(c_i) = \lambda_i$ for $i = 2, \dots, n$, and let $C_{a,\lambda}$ be the one-dimensional A-module with basis $v_{a,\lambda}$ on which d' acts by zero, c_1 acts by $a \cdot \text{id}$, and c_i acts by $\lambda_i \cdot \text{id}$, for $i = 2, \dots, n$. Let

$$V_{a,\lambda} = \mathcal{D}^G \otimes_A C_{a,\lambda},$$

which is the $\mathcal{D}^G$-module spanned by elements of the form $d^k v_{a,\lambda}$, $k \geq 0$. Note that c_i acts by λ_i on $V_{a,\lambda}$ for $i = 2, \dots, n$, and $V_{a,\lambda} = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} V_{a,\lambda}[a - kn]$, where $V_{a,\lambda}[a - kn]$ is spanned by $d^k v_{a,\lambda}$ and c_1 has eigenvalue $a - kn$ on $V_{a,\lambda}[a - kn]$.

In order for $V_{a,\lambda}$ to be irreducible of dimension $m < \infty$, we need $d^m v_\lambda = 0$ and $d^{m-1} v_\lambda \neq 0$. Moreover, we need $(d')^{m-1} d^{m-1} v_{a,\lambda} = c v_{a,\lambda}$ for some $c \neq 0$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} dd' v_{a,\lambda} &= -P(c_1, \dots, c_n) v_{a,\lambda} = 0\,, \\ d'd^m v_{a,\lambda} &= (d'd) d^{m-1} v_{a,\lambda} = -Q(c_1, \dots, c_n) d^{m-1} v_{a,\lambda} = 0\,, \end{aligned} \tag{8.2}$$

which gives the following algebraic conditions on a and λ:

$$P(a, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n) = 0, \qquad Q(a - n(m-1), \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n) = 0. \tag{8.3}$$

The condition $(d')^{m-1} d^{m-1} v_{a,\lambda} = c v_{a,\lambda}$ implies that

$$\prod_{i=2}^{m} Q(a - n(m-i), \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n) \neq 0, \tag{8.4}$$

which implies that $Q(a - n(m-i), \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n) \neq 0$ for all $i = 2, \dots, m$.

In the next three subsections, we write down the polynomials P and Q explicitly in the cases $n = 2, 3, 4$. First, we fix once and for all generators $D, D', C_1, \dots, C_n$ for $\mathcal{S}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}$ in these cases. In terms of the usual root bases for $\mathfrak{sl}_n$, the generators C_i for $i = 2, \dots, n$ are written down in the Appendix. With these choices, we take $d_i = \pi_{\text{Zhu}}(D_i)$, $d'_i = \pi_{\text{Zhu}}(D'_i)$, and $c_i = \pi_{\text{Zhu}}(C_i)$ to be our generators for $\mathcal{D}^G$. For $i = 1, 2$, c_i agrees with our previous choice $c_i = \tau(\zeta_i)$ up to scalar multiples, and for $i \geq 3$, c_i agrees with a multiple of $\tau(\zeta_i)$ up to lower order corrections in the Bernstein filtration.

The case $n = 2$**.** The generators D, D', C_1, C_2 of $\mathcal{S}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}$ satisfy the following normally ordered polynomial relations:

$$:DD': + \frac{1}{2} C_2 - \frac{1}{4} :C_1C_1: - \frac{1}{2} \partial C_1 = 0,$$
$$:D'D: + \frac{1}{2} C_2 - \frac{1}{4} :C_1C_1: + \frac{1}{2} \partial C_1 = 0.$$

Applying the Zhu map yields the following relations among the generators $d, d', c_1, c_2 \in \mathcal{D}^G$:

$$dd' + \frac{1}{2} c_2 - \frac{1}{4} c_1^2 - \frac{3}{2} c_1 - 2 = 0,$$
$$d'd + \frac{1}{2} c_2 - \frac{1}{4} c_1^2 - \frac{1}{2} c_1 = 0,$$

so we have $P = \frac{1}{2}c_2 - \frac{1}{4}c_1^2 - \frac{3}{2}c_1 - 2$ and $Q = \frac{1}{2}c_2 - \frac{1}{4}c_1^2 - \frac{1}{2}c_1$. We see that $\mathcal{D}^G \cong U(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ with $[c_1, d'] = 2d'$, $[c_1, d] = -2d$ and $[d, d'] = c_1 + 2$. The conditions (8.3) in this case are

$$\frac{1}{2}\lambda_2 - \frac{1}{4}a^2 - \frac{3}{2}a - 2 = 0,$$
$$\frac{1}{2}\lambda_2 - \frac{1}{4}(a - 2m + 2)^2 - \frac{1}{2}(a - 2m + 2) = 0,$$

from which we obtain $a = -3 + m$ and $\lambda_2 = \frac{1}{2}(m^2 - 1)$. The conditions (8.4) are empty in this case, and we obtain the usual classification of finite-dimensional $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-modules.

The case $n = 3$**.** The relations among the generators D, D', C_1, C_2, C_3 of $\mathcal{S}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}$ are:

$$:DD': - \frac{1}{27} C_3 + \frac{1}{6} :C_2C_1: - \frac{1}{27} :C_1C_1C_1: - \frac{1}{3} :\partial C_1 C_1: - \frac{1}{3} \partial^2 C_1 = 0,$$
$$:D'D: - \frac{1}{27} C_3 + \frac{1}{6} :C_2C_1: - \frac{1}{27} :C_1C_1C_1: + \frac{1}{3} :\partial C_1 C_1: - \frac{1}{2} \partial C_2 - \frac{1}{3} \partial^2 C_1 = 0.$$

The corresponding relations in $\mathcal{D}^G$ are:

$$dd' - \frac{1}{27} c_3 + \frac{1}{6} c_2 c_1 - \frac{1}{27} c_1^3 + \frac{3}{2} c_2 - \frac{2}{3} c_1^2 - \frac{11}{3} c_1 - 6 = 0,$$

$$d'd-\frac{1}{27}c_3+\frac{1}{6}c_2c_1-\frac{1}{27}c_1^3+c_2-\frac{1}{3}c_1^2-\frac{2}{3}c_1=0,$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} P &= -\frac{1}{27}c_3+\frac{1}{6}c_2c_1-\frac{1}{27}c_1^3+\frac{3}{2}c_2-\frac{2}{3}c_1^2-\frac{11}{3}c_1-6\,, \\ Q &= -\frac{1}{27}c_3+\frac{1}{6}c_2c_1-\frac{1}{27}c_1^3+c_2-\frac{1}{3}c_1^2-\frac{2}{3}c_1\,. \end{aligned}$$

The conditions (8.3) in this case are

$$-\frac{1}{27}\lambda_3+\frac{1}{6}\lambda_2 a-\frac{1}{27}a^3+\frac{3}{2}\lambda_2-\frac{2}{3}a^2-\frac{11}{3}a-6=0,$$

$$-\frac{1}{27}\lambda_3+\frac{1}{6}\lambda_2(a-3m+3)-\frac{1}{27}(a-3m+3)^3-\frac{1}{3}(a-3m+3)^2+\lambda_2-\frac{2}{3}(a-3m+3)=0.$$

This yields

$$\lambda_2=\frac{2}{3}(33+12a+a^2-18m-3am+3m^2),\quad \lambda_3=(9+a)(81+27a+2a^2-54m-9am+9m^2),$$

where a can be arbitrary. Finally, conditions (8.4) imply that for each fixed m, a finite set of values of a,λ must be excluded in order for $V_{a,\lambda}$ to be irreducible. For example, for $i=2\le m$ we solve

$$-\frac{1}{27}\lambda_3+\frac{1}{6}\lambda_2(a-3m+3i)-\frac{1}{27}(a-3m+3i)^3-\frac{1}{3}(a-3m+3i)^2+\lambda_2-\frac{2}{3}(a-3m+3i)\neq 0$$

to obtain

$$(a,\lambda_2,\lambda_3)\neq(-7+2m,\frac{2}{3}(-2-m+m^2),-2(10+3m-6m^2+m^3)),$$

and for $i=3\le m$ we obtain

$$(a,\lambda_2,\lambda_3)\neq(-8+2m,\frac{2}{3}(1-2m+m^2),-7-6m+15m^2-2m^3).$$

The case $n=4$**.** The relations among the generators D,D',C_1,C_2,C_3,C_4 of $\mathcal{S}^{\mathfrak{g}[t]}$ are:

$$:DD':-\frac{1}{256}C_4+\frac{1}{32}:C_1C_3:+\frac{1}{32}:C_2C_1C_1:-\frac{1}{256}:C_1C_1C_1C_1:+\frac{1}{8}:\partial C_1C_2:$$
$$-\frac{3}{32}:\partial C_1C_1C_1:-\frac{1}{4}:\partial^2C_1C_1:-\frac{3}{16}:\partial C_1\partial C_1:-\frac{1}{4}\partial^3C_1=0,$$

$$:D'D:-\frac{1}{256}C_4+\frac{1}{32}:C_1C_3:+\frac{1}{32}:C_2C_1C_1:-\frac{1}{256}:C_1C_1C_1C_1:-\frac{1}{8}\partial C_3-\frac{1}{8}:\partial C_1C_2:$$
$$-\frac{1}{4}:C_1\partial C_2:+\frac{3}{32}:\partial C_1C_1C_1:+\frac{1}{2}\partial^2C_2-\frac{1}{4}:\partial^2C_1C_1:-\frac{3}{16}:\partial C_1\partial C_1:+\frac{1}{4}\partial^3C_1=0.$$

Applying the Zhu map yields

$$dd'-\frac{1}{256}c_4+\frac{1}{32}c_1c_3+\frac{1}{32}c_2c_1^2-\frac{1}{256}c_1^4+\frac{1}{2}c_3+\frac{7}{8}c_2c_1-\frac{5}{32}c_1^3+6c_2-\frac{35}{16}c_1^2-\frac{25}{2}c_1-24=0,$$

$$d'd-\frac{1}{256}c_4+\frac{1}{32}c_1c_3+\frac{1}{32}c_2c_1^2-\frac{1}{256}c_1^4+\frac{3}{8}c_3+\frac{5}{8}c_1c_2-\frac{3}{32}c_1^3+3c_2-\frac{11}{16}c_1^2-\frac{3}{2}c_1=0,$$

so we have

$$P=-\frac{1}{256}c_4+\frac{1}{32}c_1c_3+\frac{1}{32}c_2c_1^2-\frac{1}{256}c_1^4+\frac{1}{2}c_3+\frac{7}{8}c_2c_1-\frac{5}{32}c_1^3+6c_2-\frac{35}{16}c_1^2-\frac{25}{2}c_1-24,$$

$$Q = -\frac{1}{256}c_4 + \frac{1}{32}c_1c_3 + \frac{1}{32}c_2c_1^2 - \frac{1}{256}c_1^4 + \frac{3}{8}c_3 + \frac{5}{8}c_1c_2 - \frac{3}{32}c_1^3 + 3c_2 - \frac{11}{16}c_1^2 - \frac{3}{2}c_1.$$

Conditions (8.3) in this case are

$$-\frac{1}{256}\lambda_4 + \frac{1}{32}a\lambda_3 + \frac{1}{32}\lambda_2a^2 - \frac{1}{256}a^4 + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_3 + \frac{7}{8}\lambda_2a - \frac{5}{32}a^3 + 6\lambda_2 - \frac{35}{16}a^2 - \frac{25}{2}a - 24 = 0,$$

$$-\frac{1}{256}\lambda_4 + \frac{1}{32}\lambda_3(a-4m+4) + \frac{1}{32}\lambda_2(a-4m+4)^2 - \frac{1}{256}(a-4m+4)^4 + \frac{3}{8}\lambda_3 + \frac{5}{8}\lambda_2(a-4m+4)$$
$$-\frac{3}{32}(a-4m+4)^3 + 3\lambda_2 - \frac{11}{16}(a-4m+4)^2 - \frac{3}{2}(a-4m+4) = 0.$$

We obtain

$$\lambda_3 = \frac{1}{2}(800+280a+30a^2+a^3-56\lambda_2-4a\lambda_2-560m-120am-6a^2m+8\lambda_2m+160m^2+16am^2-16m^3),$$

$$\lambda_4 = (16+a)(16+a-4m)(176+48a+3a^2-8\lambda_2-96m-12am+16m^2), \tag{8.5}$$

where a and λ_2 can be arbitrary. Finally, conditions (8.4) are

$$-\frac{1}{256}\lambda_4 + \frac{1}{32}\lambda_3(a-4m+4i) + \frac{1}{32}\lambda_2(a-4m+4i)^2 - \frac{1}{256}(a-4m+4i)^4 + \frac{3}{8}\lambda_3 + \frac{5}{8}\lambda_2(a-4m+4i)$$
$$-\frac{3}{32}(a-4m+4i)^3 + 3\lambda_2 - \frac{11}{16}(a-4m+4i)^2 - \frac{3}{2}(a-4m+4i) \neq 0 \tag{8.6}$$

for $i = 2, \dots, m$, which eliminates an algebraic subvariety of dimension one. It follows that the set of (a, λ) which satisfy (8.5) will also satisfy (8.6) generically.

9. APPENDIX

In this Appendix, we write down explicit formulas for $C_2, \dots, C_n$ in the case $n = 3$ and $n = 4$. We work in the standard root bases of $\mathfrak{sl}_3$ and $\mathfrak{sl}_4$, where L^{ij} corresponds to the matrix E_{ij} for $i \neq j$, and L^{H_i} corresponds to $E_{11} - E_{i+1,i+1}$.

In the case $n = 3$ we have:

$$C_2 =: L^{12}L^{21} : + : L^{21}L^{12} : + : L^{13}L^{31} : + : L^{31}L^{13} : + : L^{23}L^{32} : + : L^{32}L^{23} :$$
$$+2/3 : L^{H_1}L^{H_1} : -2/3 : L^{H_1}L^{H_2} : +2/3 : L^{H_2}L^{H_2} :$$

$$C_3 = -27 : L^{12}L^{23}L^{31} : -27 : L^{13}L^{21}L^{32} : -18 : L^{13}L^{31}L^{H_1} : +9 : L^{23}L^{32}L^{H_1} :$$
$$-18 : L^{12}L^{21}L^{H_2} : +9 : L^{23}L^{32}L^{H_2} : +9 : L^{12}L^{21}L^{H_1} : +9 : L^{13}L^{31}L^{H_2} :$$
$$-3 : L^{H_1}L^{H_1}L^{H_2} : -3 : L^{H_1}L^{H_2}L^{H_2} : +2 : L^{H_1}L^{H_1}L^{H_1} : +2 : L^{H_2}L^{H_2}L^{H_2} :$$
$$-27 : L^{13}\partial L^{31} : -27 : L^{23}\partial L^{32} : -27 : L^{32}\partial L^{23} : -9 : L^{H_1}\partial L^{H_1} : +9 : L^{H_1}\partial L^{H_2} : +18 : L^{H_2}\partial L^{H_1} :$$
$$-18 : L^{H_2}\partial L^{H_2} : +9/2\partial^2 L^{H_1} + 9/2\partial^2 L^{H_2}.$$

In the case $n = 4$ we have

$$C_2 =: L^{12}L^{21} : + : L^{21}L^{12} : + : L^{13}L^{31} : + : L^{31}L^{13} : + : L^{14}L^{41} : + : L^{41}L^{14} :$$
$$+ : L^{23}L^{32} : + : L^{32}L^{23} : + : L^{24}L^{42} : + : L^{42}L^{24} : + : L^{34}L^{43} : + : L^{43}L^{34} :$$
$$+3/4 : L^{H_1}L^{H_1} : +3/4 : L^{H_2}L^{H_2} : +3/4 : L^{H_3}L^{H_3} : -1/2 : L^{H_1}L^{H_2} : -1/2 : L^{H_1}L^{H_3} : -1/2 : L^{H_2}L^{H_3} :$$

$$\begin{gathered}
C_3 = -4 : L^{12} L^{21} L^{H_1} : +4 : L^{12} L^{21} L^{H_2} : +4 : L^{12} L^{21} L^{H_3} : +8 : L^{12} L^{23} L^{31} : \\
+8 : L^{12} L^{24} L^{41} : +8 : L^{13} L^{21} L^{32} : +4 : L^{13} L^{31} L^{H_1} : -4 : L^{13} L^{31} L^{H_2} : \\
+4 : L^{13} L^{31} L^{H_3} : +8 : L^{13} L^{34} L^{41} : +8 : L^{14} L^{21} L^{42} : +8 : L^{14} L^{31} L^{43} : \\
+4 : L^{14} L^{41} L^{H_1} : +4 : L^{14} L^{41} L^{H_2} : -4 : L^{14} L^{41} L^{H_3} : -4 : L^{23} L^{32} L^{H_1} : \\
-4 : L^{23} L^{32} L^{H_2} : +4 : L^{23} L^{32} L^{H_3} : +8 : L^{23} L^{34} L^{42} : +8 : L^{24} L^{32} L^{43} : \\
-4 : L^{24} L^{42} L^{H_1} : +4 : L^{24} L^{42} L^{H_2} : -4 : L^{24} L^{42} L^{H_3} : +4 : L^{34} L^{43} L^{H_1} : \\
-4 : L^{34} L^{43} L^{H_2} : -4 : L^{34} L^{43} L^{H_3} : - : L^{H_1} L^{H_1} L^{H_1} : + : L^{H_1} L^{H_1} L^{H_2} : \\
+ : L^{H_1} L^{H_1} L^{H_3} : + : L^{H_1} L^{H_2} L^{H_2} : -2 : L^{H_1} L^{H_2} L^{H_3} : + : L^{H_1} L^{H_3} L^{H_3} : \\
- : L^{H_2} L^{H_2} L^{H_2} : + : L^{H_2} L^{H_2} L^{H_3} : + : L^{H_2} L^{H_3} L^{H_3} : - : L^{H_3} L^{H_3} L^{H_3} : \\
+8 : L^{13} \partial L^{31} : +16 : L^{14} \partial L^{41} : +8 : L^{23} \partial L^{32} : +8 : L^{32} \partial L^{23} : +16 : L^{24} \partial L^{42} : +8 : L^{42} \partial L^{24} : \\
+16 : L^{34} \partial L^{43} : +16 : L^{43} \partial L^{34} : +4 : L^{H_1} \partial L^{H_1} : -4 : L^{H_1} \partial L^{H_3} : -4 : L^{H_2} \partial L^{H_1} : +8 : L^{H_2} \partial L^{H_2} : \\
-4 : L^{H_2} \partial L^{H_3} : -4 : L^{H_3} \partial L^{H_1} : -8 : L^{H_3} \partial L^{H_2} : +12 : L^{H_3} \partial L^{H_3} : -4 \partial^2 L^{H_2} - 4 \partial^2 L^{H_3},
\end{gathered}$$

$$\begin{gathered}
C_4 = -16 : L^{12} L^{21} L^{H_1} L^{H_1} : +32 : L^{12} L^{21} L^{H_1} L^{H_2} : +32 : L^{12} L^{21} L^{H_1} L^{H_3} : +48 : L^{12} L^{21} L^{H_2} L^{H_2} : \\
-160 : L^{12} L^{21} L^{H_2} L^{H_3} : +48 : L^{12} L^{21} L^{H_3} L^{H_3} : +64 : L^{12} L^{23} L^{31} L^{H_1} : +64 : L^{12} L^{23} L^{31} L^{H_2} : \\
-192 : L^{12} L^{23} L^{31} L^{H_3} : -256 : L^{12} L^{23} L^{34} L^{41} : -256 : L^{12} L^{24} L^{31} L^{43} : +64 : L^{12} L^{24} L^{41} L^{H_1} : \\
-192 : L^{12} L^{24} L^{41} L^{H_2} : +64 : L^{12} L^{24} L^{41} L^{H_3} : +256 : L^{12} L^{34} L^{21} L^{43} : +64 : L^{13} L^{21} L^{32} L^{H_1} : \\
+64 : L^{13} L^{21} L^{32} L^{H_2} : -192 : L^{13} L^{21} L^{32} L^{H_3} : +256 : L^{13} L^{24} L^{31} L^{42} : -256 : L^{13} L^{24} L^{41} L^{32} : \\
+48 : L^{13} L^{31} L^{H_1} L^{H_1} : +32 : L^{13} L^{31} L^{H_1} L^{H_2} : -160 : L^{13} L^{31} L^{H_1} L^{H_3} : -16 : L^{13} L^{31} L^{H_2} L^{H_2} : \\
+32 : L^{13} L^{31} L^{H_2} L^{H_3} : +48 : L^{13} L^{31} L^{H_3} L^{H_3} : -256 : L^{13} L^{34} L^{21} L^{42} : -192 : L^{13} L^{34} L^{41} L^{H_1} : \\
+64 : L^{13} L^{34} L^{41} L^{H_2} : +64 : L^{13} L^{34} L^{41} L^{H_3} : -256 : L^{14} L^{21} L^{32} L^{43} : +64 : L^{14} L^{21} L^{42} L^{H_1} : \\
-192 : L^{14} L^{21} L^{42} L^{H_2} : +64 : L^{14} L^{21} L^{42} L^{H_3} : -256 : L^{14} L^{23} L^{31} L^{42} : +256 : L^{14} L^{23} L^{41} L^{32} : \\
-192 : L^{14} L^{31} L^{43} L^{H_1} : +64 : L^{14} L^{31} L^{43} L^{H_2} : +64 : L^{14} L^{31} L^{43} L^{H_3} : +48 : L^{14} L^{41} L^{H_1} L^{H_1} : \\
-160 : L^{14} L^{41} L^{H_1} L^{H_2} : +32 : L^{14} L^{41} L^{H_1} L^{H_3} : +48 : L^{14} L^{41} L^{H_2} L^{H_2} : +32 : L^{14} L^{41} L^{H_2} L^{H_3} : \\
-16 : L^{14} L^{41} L^{H_3} L^{H_3} : -16 : L^{23} L^{32} L^{H_1} L^{H_1} : -32 : L^{23} L^{32} L^{H_1} L^{H_2} : +32 : L^{23} L^{32} L^{H_1} L^{H_3} : \\
-16 : L^{23} L^{32} L^{H_2} L^{H_2} : +32 : L^{23} L^{32} L^{H_2} L^{H_3} : +48 : L^{23} L^{32} L^{H_3} L^{H_3} : +64 : L^{23} L^{34} L^{42} L^{H_1} : \\
+64 : L^{23} L^{34} L^{42} L^{H_2} : +64 : L^{23} L^{34} L^{42} L^{H_3} : +64 : L^{24} L^{32} L^{43} L^{H_1} : +64 : L^{24} L^{32} L^{43} L^{H_2} : \\
+64 : L^{24} L^{32} L^{43} L^{H_3} : -16 : L^{24} L^{42} L^{H_1} L^{H_1} : +32 : L^{24} L^{42} L^{H_1} L^{H_2} : -32 : L^{24} L^{42} L^{H_1} L^{H_3} : \\
+48 : L^{24} L^{42} L^{H_2} L^{H_2} : +32 : L^{24} L^{42} L^{H_2} L^{H_3} : -16 : L^{24} L^{42} L^{H_3} L^{H_3} : +48 : L^{34} L^{43} L^{H_1} L^{H_1} : \\
+32 : L^{34} L^{43} L^{H_1} L^{H_2} : +32 : L^{34} L^{43} L^{H_1} L^{H_3} : -16 : L^{34} L^{43} L^{H_2} L^{H_2} : -32 : L^{34} L^{43} L^{H_2} L^{H_3} : \\
-16 : L^{34} L^{43} L^{H_3} L^{H_3} : -3 : L^{H_1} L^{H_1} L^{H_1} L^{H_1} : +4 : L^{H_1} L^{H_1} L^{H_1} L^{H_2} : +4 : L^{H_1} L^{H_1} L^{H_1} L^{H_3} : \\
+14 : L^{H_1} L^{H_1} L^{H_2} L^{H_2} : -20 : L^{H_1} L^{H_1} L^{H_2} L^{H_3} : +14 : L^{H_1} L^{H_1} L^{H_3} L^{H_3} : +4 : L^{H_1} L^{H_2} L^{H_2} L^{H_2} : \\
-20 : L^{H_1} L^{H_2} L^{H_2} L^{H_3} : -20 : L^{H_1} L^{H_2} L^{H_3} L^{H_3} : +4 : L^{H_1} L^{H_3} L^{H_3} L^{H_3} : -3 : L^{H_2} L^{H_2} L^{H_2} L^{H_2} : \\
+4 : L^{H_2} L^{H_2} L^{H_2} L^{H_3} : +14 : L^{H_2} L^{H_2} L^{H_3} L^{H_3} : +4 : L^{H_2} L^{H_3} L^{H_3} L^{H_3} : -3 : L^{H_3} L^{H_3} L^{H_3} L^{H_3} : \\
+64 : L^{12} L^{21} \partial L^{H_1} : +64 : L^{12} L^{21} \partial L^{H_2} : -192 : L^{12} L^{21} \partial L^{H_3} : +64 : L^{13} \partial L^{31} L^{H_1} : \\
+64 : L^{13} L^{31} \partial L^{H_1} : +64 : L^{13} \partial L^{31} L^{H_2} : +64 : L^{13} L^{31} \partial L^{H_2} : -192 : L^{13} \partial L^{31} L^{H_3} : \\
-192 : L^{13} L^{31} \partial L^{H_3} : -128 : L^{14} \partial L^{41} L^{H_1} : +64 : L^{14} L^{41} \partial L^{H_1} : -128 : L^{14} \partial L^{41} L^{H_2} : \\
-192 : L^{14} L^{41} \partial L^{H_2} : +128 : L^{14} \partial L^{41} L^{H_3} : +64 : L^{14} L^{41} \partial L^{H_3} : +64 : \partial L^{23} L^{32} L^{H_1} : \\
+64 : L^{23} \partial L^{32} L^{H_1} : +64 : L^{23} L^{32} \partial L^{H_1} : +64 : \partial L^{23} L^{32} L^{H_2} : +64 : L^{23} \partial L^{32} L^{H_2} :
\end{gathered}$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
+64 : L^{23}L^{32}\partial L^{H_2} : -192 : \partial L^{23}L^{32}L^{H_3} : -192 : L^{23}\partial L^{32}L^{H_3} : -192 : L^{23}L^{32}\partial L^{H_3} : \\
+64 : \partial L^{24}L^{42}L^{H_1} : +128 : L^{24}\partial L^{42}L^{H_1} : +64 : L^{24}L^{42}\partial L^{H_1} : -192 : \partial L^{24}L^{42}L^{H_2} : \\
-128 : L^{24}\partial L^{42}L^{H_2} : -192 : L^{24}L^{42}\partial L^{H_2} : +64 : \partial L^{24}L^{42}L^{H_3} : +128 : L^{24}\partial L^{42}L^{H_3} : \\
+64 : L^{24}L^{42}\partial L^{H_3} : -128 : \partial L^{34}L^{43}L^{H_1} : -128 : L^{34}\partial L^{43}L^{H_1} : -192 : L^{34}L^{43}\partial L^{H_1} : \\
+128 : \partial L^{34}L^{43}L^{H_2} : +128 : L^{34}\partial L^{43}L^{H_2} : +64 : L^{34}L^{43}\partial L^{H_2} : +128 : \partial L^{34}L^{43}L^{H_3} : \\
+128 : L^{34}\partial L^{43}L^{H_3} : +64 : L^{34}L^{43}\partial L^{H_3} : -256 : L^{12}L^{24}\partial L^{41} : -256 : L^{13}\partial L^{34}L^{41} : \\
-256 : L^{13}L^{34}\partial L^{41} : -256 : L^{14}L^{21}\partial L^{42} : -256 : L^{14}\partial L^{31}L^{43} : -256 : L^{14}L^{31}\partial L^{43} : \\
-256 : \partial L^{23}L^{34}L^{42} : -256 : L^{23}\partial L^{34}L^{42} : -256 : L^{23}L^{34}\partial L^{42} : -256 : \partial L^{24}L^{32}L^{43} : \\
-256 : L^{24}\partial L^{32}L^{43} : -256 : L^{24}L^{32}\partial L^{43} : +40 : \partial L^{H_1}L^{H_1}L^{H_1} : -48 : \partial L^{H_1}L^{H_1}L^{H_2} : \\
-8 : L^{H_1}L^{H_1}\partial L^{H_2} : -48 : \partial L^{H_1}L^{H_1}L^{H_3} : -56 : L^{H_1}L^{H_1}\partial L^{H_3} : -24 : \partial L^{H_1}L^{H_2}L^{H_2} : \\
-16 : L^{H_1}\partial L^{H_2}L^{H_2} : -24 : \partial L^{H_1}L^{H_3}L^{H_3} : -48 : L^{H_1}\partial L^{H_3}L^{H_3} : +144 : \partial L^{H_1}L^{H_2}L^{H_3} : \\
+112 : L^{H_1}\partial L^{H_2}L^{H_3} : +80 : L^{H_1}L^{H_2}\partial L^{H_3} : +56 : \partial L^{H_2}L^{H_2}L^{H_2} : -80 : \partial L^{H_2}L^{H_2}L^{H_3} : \\
-56 : L^{H_2}L^{H_2}\partial L^{H_3} : -72 : \partial L^{H_2}L^{H_3}L^{H_3} : -48 : L^{H_2}\partial L^{H_3}L^{H_3} : +72 : \partial L^{H_3}L^{H_3}L^{H_3} : \\
-256 : L^{14}\partial^2 L^{41} : -256 : \partial L^{24}\partial L^{42} : -256 : L^{24}\partial^2 L^{42} : -256 : \partial^2 L^{34}L^{43} : \\
-512 : \partial L^{34}\partial L^{43} : -256 : L^{34}\partial^2 L^{43} : -16 : \partial L^{H_1}\partial L^{H_1} : -64 : \partial^2 L^{H_2}L^{H_2} : \\
-80 : \partial L^{H_2}\partial L^{H_2} : -192 : \partial^2 L^{H_3}L^{H_3} : -144 : \partial L^{H_3}\partial L^{H_3} : -96 : \partial L^{H_1}\partial L^{H_2} : \\
-64 : L^{H_1}\partial^2 L^{H_2} : +96 : \partial L^{H_1}\partial L^{H_3} : +64 : L^{H_1}\partial L^{H_3} : +192 : \partial^2 L^{H_2}L^{H_3} : \\
+288 : \partial L^{H_2}\partial L^{H_3} : +64 : L^{H_2}\partial^2 L^{H_3} : -64\partial^3 L^{H_1} - 64\partial^3 L^{H_2} + 192\partial^3 L^{H_3}.
\end{gathered}
$$

REFERENCES

[B] R. Borcherds, *Vertex operator algebras, Kac-Moody algebras and the monster*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 83 (1986) 3068-3071.

[Be] N. Berkovits, *A New Limit of the $AdS_5 \times S^5$ Sigma Model*, JHEP **0708** (2007) 011.

[BT] J. de Boer and T. Tjin, *The relation between quantum $\mathcal{W}$ algebras and Lie algebras*, Comm. Math. Phys. 160 (1994), no. 2, 317–332.

[CGL] T. Creutzig, P. Gao and A. Linshaw, *Fermionic coset, critical level $W_4^{(2)}$-algebra and higher spins*, arXiv:1111.6603.

[CR] T. Creutzig and P. B. Ronne, *From world-sheet supersymmetry to super target spaces*, JHEP **1011** (2010) 021.

[CRi] T. Creutzig, D. Ridout, *Relating the Archetypes of Logarithmic Conformal Field Theory*, Preprint [arXiv:1107.2135 [hep-th]].

[FBZ] E. Frenkel and D. Ben-Zvi, *Vertex Algebras and Algebraic Curves*, Math. Surveys and Monographs, Vol. 88, American Math. Soc., 2001.

[FF] B. Feigin and E. Frenkel, *Quantization of the Drinfeld-Sokolov reduction*, Phys. Lett. B 246 (1990), no. 1-2, 75–81.

[FS] B. Feigin and A. Semikhatov, *$\mathcal{W}_n^{(2)}$ algebras*, Nuclear Phys. B 698 (2004), no. 3, 409-449.

[FLM] I. B. Frenkel, J. Lepowsky, A. Meurman, *Vertex Operator Algebras and the Monster*, Academic Press, New York, 1988.

[FMS] D. Friedan, E. Martinec, and S. Shenker, *Conformal invariance, supersymmetry and string theory*, Nucl. Phys. B271 (1986) 93-165.

[FZ] I. B. Frenkel, and Y. C. Zhu, *Vertex operator algebras associated to representations of affine and Virasoro algebras*, Duke Math. Journal, Vol. 66, No. 1, (1992), 123-168.

[GKO] P. Goddard, A. Kent, and D. Olive, *Virasoro algebras and coset space models*, Phys. Lett B 152 (1985) 88-93.

[GW] R. Goodman and N. Wallach, *Representations and Invariants of the Classical Groups*, Cambridge University Press, 1998.

[K] V. Kac, *Vertex Algebras for Beginners*, University Lecture Series, Vol. 10. American Math. Soc., 1998.

[KP] V. Kac and D. Peterson, *Infinite-dimensional Lie algebras, theta functions and modular forms*, Adv. Math. 53 (1984) 125-264.

[KRW] V. Kac, S. Roan, and M. Wakimoto, *Quantum reduction for affine superalgebras*, Comm. Math. Phys. 241 (2003), no. 2-3, 307–342.

[Kol] E. Kolchin, *Differential algebra and algebraic groups*, Academic Press, New York 1973.

[LiI] H. Li, *Local systems of vertex operators, vertex superalgebras and modules*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 109 (1996), no. 2, 143–195.

[LiII] H. Li, *Vertex algebras and vertex Poisson algebras*, Commun. Contemp. Math. 6 (2004) 61-110.

[LL] B. Lian and A. Linshaw, *Howe pairs in the theory of vertex algebras*, J. Algebra 317, 111-152 (2007).

[LSSI] A. Linshaw, G. Schwarz, and B. Song, *Jet schemes and invariant theory*, arXiv:1112.6230.

[LSSII] A. Linshaw, G. Schwarz, and B. Song, *Arc spaces and the vertex algebra commutant problem*, arXiv:1201.0161.

[LZ] B. Lian and G.J. Zuckerman, *Commutative quantum operator algebras*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 100 (1995) no. 1-3, 117-139.

[MV] I. Musson and M. van den Bergh, *Invariants under Tori of Rings of Invariant Operators and Related Topics*, Mem. Am. Math. Soc. No. 650 (1998).

[Mu] M. Mustata, *Jet schemes of locally complete intersection canonical singularities*, Invent. Math. 145 (2001), no. 3, 397–424.

[Sch] G. Schwarz, *Finite-dimensional representations of invariant differential operators*, J. Algebra 258 (2002) 160-204.

[T] K. Thielemanns, *A Mathematica package for computing operator product expansions*, Int. Jour. Mod. Phys. C2 (1991) p.787.

[We] H. Weyl, *The Classical Groups: Their Invariants and Representations*, Princeton University Press, 1939.

[Zh] Y. Zhu, *Modular invariants of characters of vertex operators*, J. Amer. Soc. 9 (1996) 237-302.

FACHBEREICH MATHEMATIK, TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT DARMSTADT.
E-mail address: `tcreutzig@mathematik.tu-darmstadt.de`

SIMONS CENTER FOR GEOMETRY AND PHYSICS, STONY BROOK UNIVERSITY.
E-mail address: `pgao@scgp.stonybrook.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BRANDEIS UNIVERSITY.
E-mail address: `linshaw@brandeis.edu`